What I Tell My Patients: New Treatments and Clinical Trial Options Part 2 of a 2-Part Complimentary NCPD Webinar Series

Hodgkin and Non-Hodgkin Lymphomas

Tuesday, June 14, 2022 5:00 PM – 6:00 PM ET

Faculty Christopher R Flowers, MD, MS Robin Klebig, APRN, CNP, AOCNP



Faculty



Christopher R Flowers, MD, MS Chair, Professor Department of Lymphoma/Myeloma The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center Houston, Texas



Moderator

Neil Love, MD Research To Practice Miami, Florida



Robin Klebig, APRN, CNP, AOCNP Nurse Practitioner Assistant Professor of Medicine Division of Hematology Mayo Clinic Rochester, Minnesota



Commercial Support

This activity is supported by educational grants from ADC Therapeutics, Incyte Corporation, and Seagen Inc.



Dr Love — Disclosures

Dr Love is president and CEO of Research To Practice. Research To Practice receives funds in the form of educational grants to develop NCPD activities from the following companies: AbbVie Inc, Adaptive Biotechnologies Corporation, ADC Therapeutics, Agios Pharmaceuticals Inc, Alexion Pharmaceuticals, Amgen Inc, Array BioPharma Inc, a subsidiary of Pfizer Inc, Astellas, AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP, Aveo Pharmaceuticals, Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals, BeiGene Ltd, BeyondSpring Pharmaceuticals Inc, Blueprint Medicines, Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals Inc, Bristol-Myers Squibb Company, Celgene Corporation, Clovis Oncology, Coherus BioSciences, CTI BioPharma Corp, Daiichi Sankyo Inc, Eisai Inc, Elevation Oncology Inc, EMD Serono Inc, Epizyme Inc, Exact Sciences Corporation, the parent company of GHI, Exelixis Inc, Five Prime Therapeutics Inc, Foundation Medicine, G1 Therapeutics Inc, Genentech, a member of the Roche Group, Genmab, Gilead Sciences Inc, GlaxoSmithKline, Grail Inc, Halozyme Inc, Helsinn Healthcare SA, ImmunoGen Inc, Incyte Corporation, Ipsen Biopharmaceuticals Inc, Janssen Biotech Inc, administered by Janssen Scientific Affairs LLC, Jazz Pharmaceuticals Inc, Karyopharm Therapeutics, Kite, A Gilead Company, Lilly, Loxo Oncology Inc, a wholly owned subsidiary of Eli Lilly & Company, Merck, Mersana Therapeutics Inc, Natera Inc, Novartis, Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation on behalf of Advanced Accelerator Applications, Novocure Inc, Oncopeptides, Pfizer Inc, Pharmacyclics LLC, an AbbVie Company, Puma Biotechnology Inc, Regeneron Pharmaceuticals Inc, Sanofi Genzyme, Seagen Inc, Servier Pharmaceuticals LLC, Sumitomo Dainippon Pharma Oncology Inc, Taiho Oncology Inc, Takeda Pharmaceuticals USA Inc, Tesaro, A GSK Company, TG Therapeutics Inc, Turning Point Therapeutics Inc, Verastem Inc and Zymeworks Inc.



Research To Practice NCPD Planning Committee Members, Staff and Reviewers

Planners, scientific staff and independent reviewers for Research To Practice have no relevant conflicts of interest to disclose.



Dr Flowers — Disclosures

| Consulting Agreements | AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP, Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals, BeiGene Ltd, Bristol-Myers Squibb Company, Celgene Corporation, Curio Science, Denovo Biopharma, Epizyme Inc, Foresight Diagnostics, Genentech, a member of the Roche Group, Genmab, Incyte Corporation, Janssen Biotech Inc, MEI Pharma Inc, MorphoSys, Pharmacyclics LLC, an AbbVie Company, Seagen Inc |
|-----------------------|--|
| Research Funding | 4D Pharma PLC, AbbVie Inc, Acerta Pharma — A member of the AstraZeneca Group, Adaptimmune, Allogene Therapeutics, Amgen Inc, Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals, Burroughs Wellcome Fund, Cancer Prevention and Research Institute of Texas (CPRIT Scholar in Cancer Research), Celgene Corporation, Cellectis, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group, EMD Serono Inc, Genentech, a member of the Roche Group, Gilead Sciences Inc, Guardant Health, Iovance Biotherapeutics, Janssen Biotech Inc, Kite, A Gilead Company, MorphoSys, National Cancer Institute, Nektar, Novartis, Pfizer Inc, Pharmacyclics LLC, an AbbVie Company, Sanofi Genzyme, Takeda Pharmaceuticals USA Inc, TG Therapeutics Inc, The V Foundation for Cancer Research, Xencor, ZIOPHARM Oncology Inc |



Ms Klebig – Disclosures

No relevant conflicts of interest to disclose.



We Encourage Clinicians in Practice to Submit Questions



Feel free to submit questions now before the program begins and throughout the program.



Familiarizing Yourself with the Zoom Interface

Expand chat submission box



Drag the white line above the submission box up to create more space for your message.



Familiarizing Yourself with the Zoom Interface

Increase chat font size



Press Command (for Mac) or Control (for PC) and the + symbol. You may do this as many times as you need for readability.



ONCOLOGY TODAY WITH DR NEIL LOVE

Advances in the Treatment of Hodgkin and Non-Hodgkin Lymphomas from ASH 2021



DR MATTHEW LUNNING UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA MEDICAL CENTER









Dr Matthew Lunning – Advances in the Oncology Today with Dr Neil Love —

(15) (30)

Meet The Professor Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer with an Actionable Target Beyond EGFR

> Thursday, June 16, 2022 5:00 PM – 6:00 PM ET

Faculty Melissa Johnson, MD



Meet The Professor Optimizing the Management of Ovarian Cancer

Tuesday, June 21, 2022 5:00 PM – 6:00 PM ET

Faculty Shannon N Westin, MD, MPH



Meet The Professor Optimizing the Management of Gastroesophageal Cancers

> Wednesday, June 22, 2022 5:00 PM – 6:00 PM ET

> > Faculty Manish A Shah, MD



PARP Inhibition in the Management of Prostate Cancer — Where We Are and Where We're Headed

> Thursday, June 23, 2022 5:00 PM – 6:00 PM ET

Faculty Johann S de Bono, MB ChB, MSc, PhD, FMedSci Fred Saad, MD



Meet The Professor Optimizing the Management of Chronic Myeloid Leukemia

> Tuesday, June 28, 2022 5:00 PM – 6:00 PM ET

> > Faculty Jorge E Cortes, MD



Meet The Professor Current and Future Management of Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer with an EGFR Mutation

Thursday, June 30, 2022 5:00 PM – 6:00 PM ET

Faculty Joel W Neal, MD, PhD



Thank you for joining us!

NCPD credit information will be emailed to each participant within 5 business days.



What I Tell My Patients: New Treatments and Clinical Trial Options Part 2 of a 2-Part Complimentary NCPD Webinar Series

Hodgkin and Non-Hodgkin Lymphomas

Tuesday, June 14, 2022 5:00 PM – 6:00 PM ET

Faculty Christopher R Flowers, MD, MS Robin Klebig, APRN, CNP, AOCNP



Faculty



Christopher R Flowers, MD, MS Chair, Professor Department of Lymphoma/Myeloma The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center Houston, Texas



Moderator

Neil Love, MD Research To Practice Miami, Florida



Robin Klebig, APRN, CNP, AOCNP Nurse Practitioner Assistant Professor of Medicine Division of Hematology Mayo Clinic Rochester, Minnesota



We Encourage Clinicians in Practice to Submit Questions



Feel free to submit questions now before the program begins and throughout the program.



ONCOLOGY TODAY WITH DR NEIL LOVE

Advances in the Treatment of Hodgkin and Non-Hodgkin Lymphomas from ASH 2021



DR MATTHEW LUNNING UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA MEDICAL CENTER









Dr Matthew Lunning – Advances in the Oncology Today with Dr Neil Love —

(15) (30)

Mantle Cell Lymphoma



Wrestle Mania

Matthew Lunning D.O. FACP Associate Professor

A National Cancer Institute Designated Cancer Center



FRED & PAMELA BUFFETT CANCER CENTER

Shine 2022





Meet The Professor Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer with an Actionable Target Beyond EGFR

> Thursday, June 16, 2022 5:00 PM – 6:00 PM ET

Faculty Melissa Johnson, MD



Meet The Professor Optimizing the Management of Ovarian Cancer

Tuesday, June 21, 2022 5:00 PM – 6:00 PM ET

Faculty Shannon N Westin, MD, MPH



Meet The Professor Optimizing the Management of Gastroesophageal Cancers

> Wednesday, June 22, 2022 5:00 PM – 6:00 PM ET

> > Faculty Manish A Shah, MD



PARP Inhibition in the Management of Prostate Cancer — Where We Are and Where We're Headed

> Thursday, June 23, 2022 5:00 PM – 6:00 PM ET

Faculty Johann S de Bono, MB ChB, MSc, PhD, FMedSci Fred Saad, MD



Meet The Professor Optimizing the Management of Chronic Myeloid Leukemia

> Tuesday, June 28, 2022 5:00 PM – 6:00 PM ET

> > Faculty Jorge E Cortes, MD



Meet The Professor Current and Future Management of Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer with an EGFR Mutation

Thursday, June 30, 2022 5:00 PM – 6:00 PM ET

Faculty Joel W Neal, MD, PhD



What I Tell My Patients: New Treatments and Clinical Trial Options Part 2 of a 2-Part Complimentary NCPD Webinar Series

Hodgkin and Non-Hodgkin Lymphomas

Tuesday, June 14, 2022 5:00 PM – 6:00 PM ET

Faculty Christopher R Flowers, MD, MS Robin Klebig, APRN, CNP, AOCNP



Commercial Support

This activity is supported by educational grants from ADC Therapeutics, Incyte Corporation, and Seagen Inc.

Research To Practice NCPD Planning Committee Members, Staff and Reviewers

Planners, scientific staff and independent reviewers for Research To Practice have no relevant conflicts of interest to disclose.



Dr Flowers — Disclosures

| Consulting Agreements | AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP, Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals, BeiGene Ltd, Bristol-Myers Squibb Company, Celgene Corporation, Curio Science, Denovo Biopharma, Epizyme Inc, Foresight Diagnostics, Genentech, a member of the Roche Group, Genmab, Incyte Corporation, Janssen Biotech Inc, MEI Pharma Inc, MorphoSys, Pharmacyclics LLC, an AbbVie Company, Seagen Inc |
|-----------------------|--|
| Research Funding | 4D Pharma PLC, AbbVie Inc, Acerta Pharma — A member of the AstraZeneca Group, Adaptimmune, Allogene Therapeutics, Amgen Inc, Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals, Burroughs Wellcome Fund, Cancer Prevention and Research Institute of Texas (CPRIT Scholar in Cancer Research), Celgene Corporation, Cellectis, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group, EMD Serono Inc, Genentech, a member of the Roche Group, Gilead Sciences Inc, Guardant Health, Iovance Biotherapeutics, Janssen Biotech Inc, Kite, A Gilead Company, MorphoSys, National Cancer Institute, Nektar, Novartis, Pfizer Inc, Pharmacyclics LLC, an AbbVie Company, Sanofi Genzyme, Takeda Pharmaceuticals USA Inc, TG Therapeutics Inc, The V Foundation for Cancer Research, Xencor, ZIOPHARM Oncology Inc |



Ms Klebig – Disclosures

No relevant conflicts of interest to disclose.



Faculty



Christopher R Flowers, MD, MS Chair, Professor Department of Lymphoma/Myeloma The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center Houston, Texas



Moderator

Neil Love, MD Research To Practice Miami, Florida



Robin Klebig, APRN, CNP, AOCNP Nurse Practitioner Assistant Professor of Medicine Division of Hematology Mayo Clinic Rochester, Minnesota



The Core Oncology Triad Developing an Individualized Oncology Strategy










Agenda

Management of Hodgkin and Non-Hodgkin Lymphomas

Module 1 – Diffuse Large B-Cell Lymphoma (DLBCL)

Module 2 – Hodgkin Lymphoma (HL)

Module 3 – Follicular Lymphoma (FL)

Module 4 – Mantle Cell Lymphoma (MCL)



Agenda

Management of Hodgkin and Non-Hodgkin Lymphomas

Module 1 – Diffuse Large B-Cell Lymphoma (DLBCL)

Module 2 – Hodgkin Lymphoma (HL)

Module 3 – Follicular Lymphoma (FL)

Module 4 – Mantle Cell Lymphoma (MCL)



- 77 yo male
- Lives 6 hours from Mayo Clinic
- Farmer, insurance, real estate
- Wife w/ depression/dementia
- DLBCL-DE, stage IVB
- Dx 9/2020 treatment during COVID challenging
- R-CHOP x 6
- Interim & EOT PET/CT Deauville 1





DLBCL relapse

• 6 months later:





- August 2021
- Initiated tafasitamab/lenalidomide
 - Tolerated tafasitamab well
 - Pruritic rash on scalp with initiation of lenalidomide
 - Intolerable to patient
 - despite topical and oral corticosteroids and diphenhydramine
 - Received only 2 doses of lenalidomide with each cycle
- October 2021: Chest pain ED for CT angio
 - Negative for PE
 - Demonstrated progression of chest wall mass



August 2021



October 2021





DLBCL – Plan C

- Polatuzumab + BR
- 5 cycles
- Discontinued due to complications
 - Fatigue
 - Bone pain related to pegfilgrastim
 - Hospitalizations
 - Chest pain/Afib/cardiomyopathy r/t previous anthracycline
 - Dehydration/diarrhea/rash
 - Diarrhea
 - Found to be due to IBD resolved with mesalamine
 - Rash (sulfamethoxazole-trimethoprim)
 - Anorexia/weight loss
- Remains in CR (Deauville 1) 6 months later...







Novel Agents Recently Approved for Relapsed/Refractory DLBCL

| | Pola-BR | Selinexor | Tafasitamab/ lenalidomide | Loncastuximab tesirine |
|---------------------|----------------|-----------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Mechanism of action | Anti-CD79b ADC | XPO-1 inhibitor | Anti-CD19 MAb/immunomodulator | Anti-CD19 ADC |
| ORR | 45% | 28% | 58% | 48% |
| CR rate | 40% | 10% | 40% | 24% |
| PFS | 9.2m | 2.6m | 11.6m | 4.9m |
| DOR | 12.6m | 9.3m | 43.9m | 10.3m |
| OS | 12.4m | NR | 33.5m | 9.9m |

ADC = antibody-drug conjugate



Blood Rev 2022 Apr 22;[Online ahead of print].



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Blood Reviews

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/issn/0268960X

Review

ABCs of ADCs in management of relapsed/refractory diffuse large B-cell lymphoma

Juan Pablo Alderuccio^{a,*}, Jeff P. Sharman^b



BLQQ

Antibody-Drug Conjugate Mechanism of Action in DLBCL





Alderuccio JP, Sharman JP. Blood Rev 2022;[Online ahead of print].

N Engl J Med 2022;386(4):351-63.

The NEW ENGLAND JOURNAL of MEDICINE

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Polatuzumab Vedotin in Previously Untreated Diffuse Large B-Cell Lymphoma

H. Tilly, F. Morschhauser, L.H. Sehn, J.W. Friedberg, M. Trněný, J.P. Sharman,
C. Herbaux, J.M. Burke, M. Matasar, S. Rai, K. Izutsu, N. Mehta-Shah, L. Oberic,
A. Chauchet, W. Jurczak, Y. Song, R. Greil, L. Mykhalska, J.M. Bergua-Burgués,
M.C. Cheung, A. Pinto, H.-J. Shin, G. Hapgood, E. Munhoz, P. Abrisqueta,
J.-P. Gau, J. Hirata, Y. Jiang, M. Yan, C. Lee, C.R. Flowers, and G. Salles



POLARIX: Investigator-Assessed Progression-Free Survival (Primary Endpoint)





Tilly H et al. N Engl J Med 2022;386(4):351-63.

Tafasitamab (MOR208)



Lenalidomide enhances NK function with enhanced ADCC in vitro



Salles G et al. *Lancet Oncol* 2020;21(7):978-88.

Tafasitamab plus lenalidomide in relapsed or refractory diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (L-MIND): a multicentre, prospective, single-arm, phase 2 study

Gilles Salles*, Johannes Duell*, Eva González Barca, Olivier Tournilhac, Wojciech Jurczak, Anna Marina Liberati, Zsolt Nagy, Aleš Obr, Gianluca Gaidano, Marc André, Nagesh Kalakonda, Martin Dreyling, Johannes Weirather, Maren Dirnberger-Hertweck, Sumeet Ambarkhane, Günter Fingerle-Rowson, Kami Maddocks

As a result of the L-MIND trial, tafasitamab in combination with lenalidomide was FDA approved for patients with relapsed or refractory DLBCL not otherwise specified, including DLBCL arising from low-grade lymphoma, who are not eligible for autologous stem cell transplant.



L-MIND: Best Objective Response According to Independent Radiology Committee or Clinical Review Committee

| | Patients treated with tafasitamab plus lenalidomide (n=80)* | |
|---------------------------------|--|--|
| Best objective response | | |
| Complete response | 34 (43%; 32–54) | |
| Partial response | 14 (18%; 10–28) | |
| Stable disease | 11 (14%; 7–23) | |
| Progressive disease | 13 (16%; 9–26) | |
| Not evaluable† | 8 (10%; 4–19) | |
| PET-confirmed complete response | 30/34 (88%; 73–97) | |
| Objective response‡ | 48 (60%; 48–71) | |
| Disease control§ | 59 (74%; 63–83) | |

Data are n (%; 95% CI) or n/N (%). *One patient received tafasitamab only. †Patients had no valid postbaseline response assessments. ‡Complete response plus partial response. §Complete response plus partial response plus stable disease.



L-MIND: Select Adverse Events and Incidence of Infusion-Related Reactions

| | Grade 1-2 | Grade 3-4 |
|---------------------|-----------|-----------|
| Neutropenia | 1 (1%) | 39 (49%) |
| Anemia | 22 (27%) | 6 (7%) |
| Thrombocytopenia | 11 (14%) | 14 (18%) |
| Febrile neutropenia | 0 | 10 (13%) |
| Pneumonia | 1 (1%) | 5 (6%) |
| Pulmonary embolism | 0 | 4 (5%) |

- Treatment-emergent adverse events that led to discontinuation of tafasitamab included pneumonia, bronchitis, deep vein thrombosis and allergic dermatitis.
- Infusion-related reactions (all Grade 1) were observed in 5 (6%) patients. All occurred once during the first infusion and no discontinuation of infusion was required.



Salles G et al. Lancet Oncol 2020;21(7):978-88.

Mechanism of Action of Loncastuximab Tesirine





Hamadani M et al. ASCO 2021; Abstract TPS7574.

Lancet Oncol 2021;22(6):790-800.

Loncastuximab tesirine in relapsed or refractory diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (LOTIS-2): a multicentre, open-label, single-arm, phase 2 trial

Paolo F Caimi, Weiyun Ai, Juan Pablo Alderuccio, Kirit M Ardeshna, Mehdi Hamadani, Brian Hess, Brad S Kahl, John Radford, Melhem Solh, Anastasios Stathis, Pier Luigi Zinzani, Karin Havenith, Jay Feingold, Shui He, Yajuan Qin, David Ungar, Xiaoyan Zhang, Carmelo Carlo-Stella

As a result of the LOTIS-2 trial, loncastuximab tesirine was FDA approved for patients with relapsed or refractory large B-cell lymphoma after 2 or more lines of systemic therapy, including DLBCL not otherwise specified, DLBCL arising from low-grade lymphoma and high-grade B-cell lymphoma.



LOTIS-2: Select Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events (AEs)

| Treatment-emergent AEs | Grade 1-2 | Grade 3-4 | |
|------------------------|-----------|-----------|--|
| Peripheral edema* | 19% | 1% | |
| Anemia | 16% | 10% | |
| Thrombocytopenia | 15% | 18% | |
| Neutropenia | 14% | 26% | |
| Pleural effusion* | 8% | 2% | |
| Leukopenia | 6% | 9% | |

* Treatment-emergent AEs considered likely to be related to the the agent's payload included edema or effusion, symptoms in the skin or nails and liver enzyme abnormalities



Caimi PF et al. *Lancet Oncol* 2021;22(6):790-800.

Randomized Trials of CAR T-Cells vs SOC in 2nd Line Transplant-Eligible DLBCL with Primary Refractory Disease or Relapse within 1 Year of 1st Line Therapy

| | ZUMA-7 | TRANSFORM | BELINDA |
|----------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| CAR T-cell | Axicabtagene ciloleucel | Lisocabtagene maraleucel | Tisagenlecleucel |
| n | 359 | 184 | 322 |
| % infused in CAR arm | 94% | 98% | 96% |
| Median EFS | 8.3 mo vs 2 mo | 10.1 mo vs 2.3 mo | 3 mo vs 3 mo |
| Hazard ratio | 0.398 (<i>P < 0</i> .0001) | 0.349; (<i>P</i> < 0.0001) | 1.07 (<i>P</i> = 0.69) |
| Median follow-up | 25 months | 6 months | 10 months |
| CR rate | 65% vs 32% | 66% vs 39% | 28% vs 28% |
| Grade ≥3 CRS/NT | 6%/21% | 1%/4% | 5%/3% |
| | Locke, et al. Abstract 2 | Kamdar, et al. Abstract 91 | Bishop, et al. Abstract LBA-6 |



An 88-year-old woman with newly diagnosed DLBCL who developed pneumonia after the first dose of R-CHOP



Dr Erik Rupard (West Reading, Pennsylvania)



Agenda

Management of Hodgkin and Non-Hodgkin Lymphomas

Module 1 – Diffuse Large B-Cell Lymphoma (DLBCL)

Module 2 – Hodgkin Lymphoma (HL)

Module 3 – Follicular Lymphoma (FL)

Module 4 – Mantle Cell Lymphoma (MCL)



- 75 yo female
- Dx 6/2021: Stage IVA cHL lymphadenopathy & bone lesions



- PMH: Afib, CHF apixaban & multiple cardiac meds cleared by CV; idiopathic PN on duloxetine & gabapentin, chronic diarrhea
- Rx plan: Sequential BV & AVD for older pts with untreated cHL
 - BV x 2 AVD x 6 BV x 4
 - C1 BV: E coli enteritis, dehydration, pneumonia despite adequate ANC (delayed C2 by 3 weeks)
 - C2 BV: Dx DM. Prolonged hospitalization for respiratory failure w/ hypoxia requiring intubation and pressor support (due to fluid overload w/ h/o Afib)



Baseline PET/CT









Response to 2 cycles of BV

6/29/2021



9/30/2021







Chemo plan continued

- C1 AVD (delayed 1 week)
 - Had "unexpected alopecia"
 - Dose reductions
 - 50% doxorubicin due to drug interactions and PS
 - 25% vinblastine due to peripheral neuropathy
 - 25% dacarbazine due to PS
- Complications requiring dose delays due to
 - Diarrhea, hypomagnesemia, dehydration, Afib/RVR requiring hospitalization
 - Recurrence of perirectal fistula & abscess
 - Sudden death of daughter
- No further dose reductions





- AVD completed FINALLY!
- PET/CT Deauville 1

- C3 BV resumed 5/17/2021 still at full dose
- C4 BV dose reduced to 1.2 mcg/kg due to progressive PN

• Should be completing chemotherapy 7/18/2022 if all goes well...





Abstract 7503

FIRST-LINE BRENTUXIMAB VEDOTIN PLUS CHEMOTHERAPY IMPROVES OVERALL SURVIVAL IN PATIENTS WITH STAGE III/IV CLASSICAL HODGKIN LYMPHOMA: AN UPDATED ANALYSIS OF ECHELON-1

Stephen M. Ansell, John Radford, Joseph M. Connors, Won-Seog Kim, Andrea Gallamini, Radhakrishnan Ramchandren, Jonathan W. Friedberg, Ranjana Advani, Martin Hutchings, Andrew M. Evens, Piotr Smolewski, Kerry J. Savage, Nancy L. Bartlett, Hyeon-Seok Eom, Jeremy S. Abramson, Cassie Dong, Frank Campana, Keenan Fenton, Markus Puhlmann, and David J. Straus, for the ECHELON-1 Study Group

Stephen M. Ansell

Division of Hematology, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN, USA









ECHELON-1: Prespecified OS Analysis After Approximately 6 Years Follow-Up



A + AVD = brentuximab vedotin and doxorubicin/vinblastine/dacarbazine; ABVD = doxorubicin/bleomycin/vinblastine/dacarbazine

Ansell SM et al. ASCO 2022; Abstract 7503.



ECHELON-1: Updated PFS Analysis After Approximately 6 Years Follow-Up



 In patients with peripheral neuropathy (PN) in the A + AVD and ABVD arms after 6-year follow-up, treatment-emergent PN either resolved or continued to improve in 86% and 87% (median time to resolution was 16 and 10 weeks).



Ansell SM et al. ASCO 2022; Abstract 7503.

ECHELON-1: Incidence of Secondary Cancer





Ansell SM et al. ASCO 2022; Abstract 7503.

Targeting the PD-1/PD-L1 Axis in HL



- HL characterized by small number of malignant Hodgkin Reed-Sternberg cells (HRS) surrounded by normal immune cells
- 9p24.1 chromosomal abnormalities frequently observed in HRS cells
- More than 90% of HRS cells have alterations in PD-L1 and PD-L2 loci
- Malignant Hodgkin and RS cells overexpress PD-L1/L2 ligands (due to cytogenetic events, infection with EBV)



ICML Virtual Congress 2021; Abstract 075.

Camidanlumab tesirine efficacy and safety in an open-label, multicenter, Phase 2 study of patients with relapsed or refractory classical Hodgkin lymphoma (R/R cHL)

Pier Luigi Zinzani¹, Carmelo Carlo-Stella², Mehdi Hamadani³, Alex F. Herrera⁴, Stephen M. Ansell⁵, John Radford⁶, Kami Maddocks⁷, Justin Kline⁸, Kerry J. Savage⁹, Nancy L. Bartlett¹⁰, Paolo F. Caimi¹¹, Yanina Negievich¹², Hans G. Cruz¹², Luqiang Wang¹³, Jens Wuerthner¹², Graham P. Collins¹⁴


Camidanlumab Tesirine: Mechanism of Action and Study Rationale

Limited therapeutic options are available for patients with R/R cHL who are unresponsive to, or whose disease progresses after, BV and PD-1 blockade therapy.^{1–5} Novel treatments are required to address this unmet need

Camidanlumab tesirine (Cami) is an Ab-drug conjugate comprising a human IgG1 anti-CD25 monoclonal Ab conjugated to a potent PBD dimer warhead⁶



Treatment with Cami demonstrated encouraging antitumor activity and manageable toxicity:

- In a Phase 1 trial that included patients with R/R cHL who received Cami at a dose of 45 μg/kg and achieved an overall response rate (ORR; CR + PR) of 86.5%⁷
- In the initial findings of this Phase 2 study of patients with R/R cHL, who achieved an ORR of 83.0%⁸

Here, we present preliminary results from this Phase 2 study of patients with R/R cHL (NCT04052997) after meeting target enrollment (100 patients)



Response to Camidanlumab Tesirine for R/R cHL (Primary Study Endpoint)





Most Common Treatment-Related Adverse Events (TEAEs) with Camidanlumab Tesirine

| All-grade TEAEs in ≥20% of patients | Total (N=117) | Grade ≥3 TEAEs in ≥5% of patients | Total (N=117) | |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------|--|
| Any TEAE of any grade | 116 (99.1) | Any TEAE Grade ≥3 | 62 (53.0) | |
| Fatigue | 43 (36.8) | Hypophosphatemia | 9 (7.7) | |
| Maculopapular rash | 33 (28.2) | Maculopapular rash | 8 (6.8) | |
| Nausea | 32 (27.4) | Thrombocytopenia | 8 (6.8) | |
| Pyrexia | 31 (26.5) | Anemia | 7 (6.0) | |
| Anemia | 24 (20.5) | Lymphopenia | 7 (6.0) | |
| All-grade TEAEs leading to dose | delay, reduction or discont | tinuation | Total (N=117) | |
| Dose delay or reduction | 56 (47.9) | | | |
| Discontinuation | 16 (13.7) | | | |



Incidence of Guillain-Barré Syndrome (GBS) and Polyradiculopathy with Camidanlumab Tesirine

Total: 7/117 (6.0%) patients. All events were deemed related or probably related to treatment

| AE by preferred term | Study day event start–stop | Max grade | Grade at last assessment | Outcome at last assessment |
|--|-------------------------------|--------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|
| Radiculopathy | Days 41–206 | 2 | S= 1 | Recovered/resolved |
| GBS | Days 164–283 | 2 | 2000 | Recovered/resolved |
| GBS | Day 48–ongoing ^b | 3 | 2 | Not recovered/not resolved |
| Polyneuropathy (assessed as polyradiculopathy by Sponsor)ª | Day 64–ongoing ^b | 3 | 3 | Recovering/resolving |
| GBS | Day 137–ongoing ^b | 3 | 3 | Not recovered/not resolved |
| GBS | Day 24-ongoing ^b | 4 | 3 | Not recovered/not resolved |
| GBS | Day 101–ongoing ^b | 4 | 4 | Not recovered/not resolved |

^a Additional events reported in the same patient included Grade 3 meningitis aseptic, which was recovering/resolving at last assessment; Grade 3 facial paralysis, not recovered/not resolved; and Grade 4 inappropriate antidiuretic hormone secretion, which recovered/resolved; all 3 events were considered related to treatment; ^b At last assessment prior to data cutoff.

Agenda

Management of Hodgkin and Non-Hodgkin Lymphomas

Module 1 – Diffuse Large B-Cell Lymphoma (DLBCL)

Module 2 – Hodgkin Lymphoma (HL)

Module 3 – Follicular Lymphoma (FL)

Module 4 – Mantle Cell Lymphoma (MCL)



- 66 yo female
- RN at Mayo Clinic
- Dx 2009 (age 53) with follicular NHL grade 1, stage IVA
- Rx: R-CHOP x 8 to PR
 - Notable side effects:
 - Hoarseness r/t GERD +/- vincristine
 - Painful plantar erythema, blisters & desquamation r/t doxorubicin
 - PET/CT showed PR after 6 cycles
 - Give additional 2 cycles of R-CHOP
 - **CT at EOT: "**given the limited information in regard to the meaning of the PET scan in follicular lymphoma that we will hold off on a PET"



Round 2

- 2013 (4 years later, age 57)
- Progressive bilateral pelvic lymphadenopathy (inguinal/femoral)
- Bx: FL grade 1
- Rx: ⁹⁰Y-ibritumomab tiuxetan
 - Notable side effects:
 - Platelet nadir 53K at 5 weeks
 - ANC nadir 0.93 at 7 weeks
 - No transfusions or infections
- EOT PET/CT: CR





Round 3

- 2017 (3 years later, age 60)
- Progression left femoral and bilateral inguinal nodes
- Bx: FL grade 1-2
- Rx: Rituximab monotherapy/maintenance x 2 years
- EOT CT: PR



Round 4

- 2020 (4 years later, age 64)
- Significant progression of abdominopelvic lymphadenopathy concerning for transformation, SUV max 12
- Bx: FL grade 1-2
- BR x 6
 - COVID era: 10/2020-2/2021
 - Notable side effects: Chemobrain decided to retire
- EOT PET/CT: CR (Deauville 1)



- Still doing well
- Watching for late effects
 - Cardiotoxicity
 - Secondary malignancies
 - Bone marrow failure (t-MNs)



RELEVANCE: Study Design

- International, open-label, randomized Phase III study
 - Lenalidomide: immunomodulatory agent with MoA complementary to rituximab



 Coprimary endpoints (superiority): Confirmed/unconfirmed complete response (CR/CRu) at 120 wk, PFS

Morschhauser et al. *N Engl J Med* 2018;379:934. Fowler et al. *Lancet Oncol* 2014;15:1315. Gribben et al. *J Clin Oncol* 2015;33:2803.



RELEVANCE: PFS by IRC



- Interim PFS at median follow-up of 37.9 mo was similar in both arms
- PFS benefit observed across prespecified subgroups

517

78







Long Term Follow Up of RESORT – Rituximab Extended Schedule Or Retreatment Trial (E4402):

Brad Kahl, Fangxin Hong, Yemi Jegede, Christopher Peterson, Lode Swinnen, Thomas Habermann, Stephen Schuster, Matthias Weiss, Paul Fishkin, Christopher Ehmann, Tim Fenske, Michael Williams



Original Conclusions Kahl et al, JCO 2014

- Rituximab retreatment was as effective as maintenance rituximab for time to treatment failure
- MR was superior of RR for time to cytotoxic therapy
- Both strategies appeared to delay time to chemotherapy compared to historical controls
- 4x more drug administered with MR strategy
- No benefit in QOL or anxiety with MR (Wagner et al, JCO 2015)
- Rituximab retreatment is our recommended strategy if opting for single agent rituximab in LTB FL

American Society of Hematology

63rd ASH' Annual Meeting and Exposition



LTFU Conclusions

- Time to treatment failure outcomes unchanged with LTFU due to data lock
 - No difference between RR and MR
- · Time to first cytotoxic therapy MR benefit increased over time
 - ...but 63% of patients on RR strategy remained chemo-free at 7 years
- Duration of response favored MR
 - ...but 30% of RR patients remained in 1st remission at 10 years
- No long-term safety signals with prolonged MR (2nd CA, Ig levels)
- No OS benefit for MR
- 4x less drug utilized with the RR strategy
- A rituximab retreatment strategy remains our recommendation

S American Society of Hematology



LTFU = long-term follow-up







Obinutuzumab Short Duration Infusion Is Preferred by Healthcare Providers and Has Minimal Impact on Patient-Reported Symptoms Among Patients with Untreated, Advanced Follicular Lymphoma

Trask P et al. ASH 2021;Abstract 1345.

Background: The GAZELLE study is a prospective open label, multicenter, single arm, Phase IV study, which evaluated the safety of obinutuzumab (G) <u>administered as a 90-minute short-duration infusion (SDI</u>) from Cycle 2 (C2) onwards in patients with previously untreated advanced FL.

Author conclusions: Untreated, advanced FL patients had no or mild symptom severity and interference at baseline regardless of risk group. These low levels were maintained during G SDI administration. Additionally, SDI administration was preferred by providers for the time it saved, convenience, and comfort for patients, suggesting that G SDI administration can be a beneficial treatment option for untreated, advanced FL patients by minimizing patient treatment burden with no impact on health-related quality of life.



EZH2, a Histone Methyltransferase, in FL

- In normal B-cell biology, EZH2 regulates germinal center formation
- *EZH2* mutations can lead to oncogenic transformation by locking B-cells in germinal state and preventing terminal differentiation
- *EZH2*-activating mutations found in ~20% of patients with FL
- Tazemetostat: Selective, oral, first-in-class EZH2 inhibitor
- Whether WT or mutant, *EZH2* biology relevant to FL



Germinal Center Reaction



Lancet Oncol 2020;21(11):1433-42.

Tazemetostat for patients with relapsed or refractory follicular lymphoma: an open-label, single-arm, multicentre, phase 2 trial



Franck Morschhauser, Hervé Tilly, Aristeidis Chaidos, Pamela McKay, Tycel Phillips, Sarit Assouline, Connie Lee Batlevi, Phillip Campbell, Vincent Ribrag, Gandhi Laurent Damaj, Michael Dickinson, Wojciech Jurczak, Maciej Kazmierczak, Stephen Opat, John Radford, Anna Schmitt, Jay Yang, Jennifer Whalen, Shefali Agarwal, Deyaa Adib, Gilles Salles



Response to Tazemetostat in Patients with R/R FL and an EZH2 Mutation or EZH2 Wild-Type Tumors





Morschhauser F et al. Lancet Oncol 2020;21(11):1433-42.

Structure of Selected Bispecific Antibodies

| Bi-Specific Antibody | Targets | Design | Ig Fragment Formats | | |
|----------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|--|--|--|
| blinatumomab | CD19 x CD3 | CDD CDD | two murine scFv joined by a glycine-serine linker monovalent CD19 and monovalent CD3 binding cloned from anti-CD19 (clone HD37) and anti-CD3 (clone L2K-07) murine mAbs | | |
| mosunetuzumab | CD20 x CD3 | | humanized mouse heterodimeric IgG1-based antibody monovalent CD20 and monovalent CD3¢ binding modified Fc devoid of FcyR and complement binding | | |
| glofitamab | (CD20) ₂ x CD3 | | humanized mouse IgG1-based antibody bivalent CD20 and monovalent CD3€ binding modified Fc devoid of FcyR and complement binding | | |
| odronextamab | CD20 x CD3 | 2 ²³ - 2230 | fully human IgG4-based heterodimeric antibody monovalent CD20 and monovalent CD3ε binding Fc-dependent effector function-minimized antibody with Fc of the anti-CD3ε heavy chain modified to reduce Protein A binding common κ light chain from anti-CD3ε mAb | | |
| epcoritamab | CD20 x CD3 | | humanized mouse IgG1-based heterodimeric antibody monovalent CD20 and monovalent CD3 binding IgG1 Fc modified to minimize Fc-dependent effector functions and to control Fab-arm exchange of mAb half-molecules, resulting in high bispecific product yield | | |

Ig, immunoglobulin; scFv, single-chain variable fragment; mAb, monoclonal antibody; Fc, fragment crystallizable; FcyR, Fc gamma receptor



Response to Mosunetuzumab Monotherapy in Patients with R/R FL Who Have Received ≥2 Lines of Therapy



- Median DoR: 22.8 months
- Median PFS: 17.9 months



Response to Glofitamab in Patients with R/R B-Cell Lymphomas





Hutchings M et al. J Clin Oncol 2021;39:1959-70.

Agenda

Management of Hodgkin and Non-Hodgkin Lymphomas

Module 1 – Diffuse Large B-Cell Lymphoma (DLBCL)

Module 2 – Hodgkin Lymphoma (HL)

Module 3 – Follicular Lymphoma (FL)

Module 4 – Mantle Cell Lymphoma (MCL)



- 76 yo male
- Retired engineer
- Very active, Florida snowbird; plays better pickleball than 50-year-olds
- Dx 2014 (age 69): Stage IVA mantle cell with splenomegaly, lymphadenopathy, colon, marrow & peripheral blood involvement
- PMH: Melanoma, SCC, BPH
- Rx: BR x 6 to CR
 - Rituximab maintenance x 12 cycles completed June 2017



PET/CT before & after

January 9, 2015



August 27, 2015





Relapsed MCL

- December 2020 (5 years after BR, age 75)
- Upper denture rubbing against palate
- Bx: Recurrent MCL

• PET/CT: Involvement of palate, possible right posterior nasopharynx





BTKi for relapsed MCL

- December 2020 initiated acalabrutinib
 - Notable side effects: Headaches
 - 1 month on treatment: Palate lesion resolved
- PET/CT difficult area to assess for CMR due to physiologic uptake in palate

• Continues on therapy



Primary Results From the Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled, Phase III SHINE Study of Ibrutinib in Combination With Bendamustine-Rituximab and Rituximab Maintenance as a First-Line Treatment for Older Patients With Mantle Cell Lymphoma

Michael L. Wang,¹ Wojciech Jurczak,² Mats Jerkeman,³ Judith Trotman,⁴ Pier Luigi Zinzani,⁵ Jan Walewski,⁶ Jun Zhu,⁷ Stephen E. Spurgeon,⁸ Andre Goy,⁹ Paul A. Hamlin,¹⁰ David Belada,¹¹ Muhit Özcan,¹² John M. Storring,¹³ David Lewis,¹⁴ José-Ángel Hernández-Rivas,¹⁵ Todd Henninger,¹⁶ Sanjay Deshpande,¹⁶ Rui Qin,¹⁶ Steven Le Gouill^{*,17} Martin Dreyling*¹⁸

The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX, USA: 'Maria Sklodovska-Curie National Research Institute of Oncology, Kraków, Poland: 'Skane University Hospital and Lund University, Lund, Sweden 'Concord Reportational General Hospital, University of Sydney, Sydney, NSW, Australia, 'RicKCS Adread Dogealaero: University and Statuto di Ematologia 'Seràgioni'). Dipartimento di Medicina Specialistica, Diagnostica e Sperimentale Università di Bologna, Taly: 'Maria Sklodovska-Curie National Research Inistitute of Oncology, Warszawa, Poland, 'Key Laboratory of Carcinogenesia and Yanasidana Research (Ministry of Education), Department of Lymphome, Peking University Cancer Lospital & Institute (Beijing Cancer Hospital), Beijing, China: 'Division of Hematology and Medical Oncology, Oregon Health Science University, Portland, DR, USA: 'John Theurer Cancer Center, Naciensas, N. USA: 'Mikemorial Soan Ketering' Cancer Center, Nev York, NY, USA: 'Yinh Department of Lymphome, USA: 'Division' Dispital & Montreal, Quebec, Cancek 'Centre', Portland, Dance Center, Paris, Provide, Naciensa, Paris, Maria Skilodovska-Curie National Complexes, Madrid, Spain, ''Amarsu University, School of Medicine, Ankara, Turkey, 'Pith Research Institute of the McGill University Hospital and Econry, Microsoft, Paris, Pari

*Professors Le Gouill and Dreyling contributed equally.

Presented at ASCO 2022 Annual Meeting; June 3-7, 2022; Chicago, IL, USA.

Abstract LBA7502



The NEW ENGLAND JOURNAL of MEDICINE

Published on 3rd June 2022 www.nejm.org/doi/full/10.1056/NEJMoa2201817

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Ibrutinib plus Bendamustine and Rituximab in Untreated Mantle-Cell Lymphoma

Michael L. Wang, M.D., Wojciech Jurczak, M.D., Ph.D., Mats Jerkeman, M.D., Ph.D., Judith Trotman, F.R.A.C.P., Pier L. Zinzani, M.D., Ph.D., David Belada, M.D., Ph.D., Carola Boccomini, M.D., Ian W. Flinn, M.D., Ph.D., Pratyush Giri, F.R.A.C.P., Andre Goy, M.D., Paul A. Hamlin, M.D., Olivier Hermine, M.D., Ph.D., José-Ángel Hernández-Rivas, M.D., Ph.D., Xiaonan Hong, M.D., Seok Jin Kim, M.D., Ph.D., David Lewis, F.R.C.Path., Ph.D., Yuko Mishima, M.D., Ph.D., Muhit Özcan, M.D., Guilherme F. Perini, M.D., Christopher Pocock, M.D., Ph.D., Yuqin Song, M.D., Ph.D., Stephen E. Spurgeon, M.D., John M. Storring, M.D., Jan Walewski, M.D., Jun Zhu, M.D., Ph.D., Rui Qin, Ph.D., Steven Le Gouill, M.D., Ph.D., and Martin Dreyling, M.D., for the SHINE Investigators*



SHINE: Phase III Study Design



BR = bendamustine/rituximab



SHINE: Survival Outcomes

Progression-free survival (primary endpoint)

Ibrutinib + BR Placebo + BR 100-Percent of Patients Who Were Alive without 100-(N = 261) (N = 262) 90 Median PFS, months 80.6 52.9 90 Percent of Patients Who Were Alive (95% CI) (61.9-NE) (43.7-71.0)80 80 **Disease Progression** 70-70-Ibrutinib+bendamustine and rituximab 60-60-50-50-40-40-30-30-Placebo+bendamustine and rituximab 20-20-Stratified hazard ratio for progression or death, 0.75 (95% CI, 0.59-0.96) 10-10-P=0.01 0 60 0 12 18 24 30 36 42 48 54 66 72 78 84 90 96 0 6 12 6 Months

Overall survival (secondary endpoint)





Wang ML et al. *N Engl J Med* 2022;[Online ahead of print]; ASCO 2022;Abstract LBA7502.

SHINE: Adverse Events of Clinical Interest

| | lbrutinib + BR (N = 259) | | Placebo + BR (N = 260) | |
|---------------------|-----------------------------|--------------|---------------------------|--------------|
| | Any Grade | Grade 3 or 4 | Any Grade | Grade 3 or 4 |
| Any bleeding | 42.9% | 3.5% | 21.5% | 1.5% |
| Major bleeding | 5.8% | - | 4.2% | - |
| Atrial fibrillation | 13.9% | 3.9% | 6.5% | 0.8% |
| Hypertension | 13.5% | 8.5% | 11.2% | 5.8% |
| Arthralgia | 17.4% | 1.2% | 16.9% | 0 |



Wang ML et al. *N Engl J Med* 2022;[Online ahead of print]; ASCO 2022;Abstract LBA7502.

N Engl J Med 2020;382(14):1331-42.

The NEW ENGLAND JOURNAL of MEDICINE

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

KTE-X19 CAR T-Cell Therapy in Relapsed or Refractory Mantle-Cell Lymphoma

M. Wang, J. Munoz, A. Goy, F.L. Locke, C.A. Jacobson, B.T. Hill, J.M. Timmerman, H. Holmes, S. Jaglowski, I.W. Flinn, P.A. McSweeney, D.B. Miklos, J.M. Pagel, M.-J. Kersten, N. Milpied, H. Fung, M.S. Topp, R. Houot, A. Beitinjaneh, W. Peng, L. Zheng, J.M. Rossi, R.K. Jain, A.V. Rao, and P.M. Reagan



ZUMA-2: Response Rates, Survival and Select Safety Outcomes with Brexucabtagene Autoleucel for Mantle Cell Lymphoma





Wang M et al. *N Engl J Med* 2020;382(14):1331-42.

Appendix of Recent Data Sets



Diffuse Large B-Cell Lymphoma



Polatuzumab vedotin plus bendamustine and rituximab in relapsed/ refractory DLBCL: survival update and new extension cohort data

Laurie H. Sehn,¹ Mark Hertzberg,² Stephen Opat,³ Alex F. Herrera,⁴ Sarit Assouline,⁵ Christopher R. Flowers,⁶ Tae Min Kim,⁷ Andrew McMillan,⁸ Muhit Ozcan,⁹ Violaine Safar,¹⁰ Gilles Salles,¹⁰ Grace Ku,¹¹ Jamie Hirata,¹¹ Yi Meng Chang,¹² Lisa Musick,¹¹ and Matthew J. Matasar¹³

Blood Adv 2022;6(2):533-43.


GO29365: Phase Ib/II Study Design

Inclusion: transplant-ineligible DLBCL, ≥1 line of therapy

Exclusion: prior allo-SCT, history of transformation, current Grade >1 peripheral neuropathy



Pola = polatuzumab vedotin; BR = bendamustine/rituximab; R/R = relapsed/refractory

Pola 1.8 mg/kg on day 1 of each cycle of BR; up to 6 cycles at 3-weekly interval



Sehn LH et al. Blood Adv 2022;6(2):533-43.

GO29365: PFS and OS in Randomized and Extension Cohorts



Randomized cohort:

- Survival benefit persists with longer follow-up
- 2-y PFS 28.4%, 2-y OS 38.2%

Sehn LH et al. Blood Adv 2022;6(2):533-43.

Pooled cohort

 Non-primary refractory: Median PFS 13.4 mo, median OS 32 mo



GO29365: Median PFS and OS in the Pooled Pola + BR Cohort According to Line of Therapy and Refractory Status





Tafasitamab plus lenalidomide in relapsed or refractory diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (L-MIND): a multicentre, prospective, single-arm, phase 2 study

Gilles Salles*, Johannes Duell*, Eva González Barca, Olivier Tournilhac, Wojciech Jurczak, Anna Marina Liberati, Zsolt Nagy, Aleš Obr, Gianluca Gaidano, Marc André, Nagesh Kalakonda, Martin Dreyling, Johannes Weirather, Maren Dirnberger-Hertweck, Sumeet Ambarkhane, Günter Fingerle-Rowson, Kami Maddocks

As a result of the L-MIND trial, tafasitamab in combination with lenalidomide was FDA approved for patients with relapsed or refractory DLBCL not otherwise specified, including DLBCL arising from low-grade lymphoma, who are not eligible for autologous stem cell transplant.



L-MIND: Phase II Study Design



- Sample size suitable to detect ≥15% absolute increase in ORR for tafasitamab/LEN combination versus LEN monotherapy at 85% power, 2-sided alpha of 5%
- Mature data: Primary endpoint analysis with data cutoff 30 Nov 2018; minimum follow-up 12 months, median follow-up 17.3 months



ORR = objective response rate; PFS = progression-free survival; DoR = duration of response; OS = overall survival

Salles G et al. Lancet Oncol 2020;21(7):978-88.

FDA Grants Accelerated Approval to Loncastuximab Tesirine-Ipyl for Large B-Cell Lymphoma Press Release – April 23, 2021

"The Food and Drug Administration granted accelerated approval to loncastuximab tesirine-lpyl, a CD19-directed antibody and alkylating agent conjugate, for adult patients with relapsed or refractory large B-cell lymphoma after two or more lines of systemic therapy, including diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL) not otherwise specified, DLBCL arising from low grade lymphoma, and high-grade B-cell lymphoma.

Approval was based on LOTIS-2 (NCT03589469), an open-label, single-arm trial in 145 adult patients with relapsed or refractory DLBCL or high-grade B-cell lymphoma after at least two prior systemic regimens. Patients received loncastuximab tesirine-lpyl 0.15 mg/kg every 3 weeks for 2 cycles, then 0.075 mg/kg every 3 weeks for subsequent cycles. Patients received treatment until progressive disease or unacceptable toxicity."



Lancet Oncol 2021;22(6):790-800.

Loncastuximab tesirine in relapsed or refractory diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (LOTIS-2): a multicentre, open-label, single-arm, phase 2 trial

Paolo F Caimi, Weiyun Ai, Juan Pablo Alderuccio, Kirit M Ardeshna, Mehdi Hamadani, Brian Hess, Brad S Kahl, John Radford, Melhem Solh, Anastasios Stathis, Pier Luigi Zinzani, Karin Havenith, Jay Feingold, Shui He, Yajuan Qin, David Ungar, Xiaoyan Zhang, Carmelo Carlo-Stella

As a result of the LOTIS-2 trial, loncastuximab tesirine was FDA approved for patients with relapsed or refractory large B-cell lymphoma after 2 or more lines of systemic therapy, including DLBCL not otherwise specified, DLBCL arising from low-grade lymphoma and high-grade B-cell lymphoma.



LOTIS-2: Phase II Trial Design

Patient population: Patients with R/R DLBCL following ≥2 lines of prior systemic therapy Primary objective: Evaluate efficacy, using ORR (central review), and safety of the full Phase 2 study population



ORR = overall response rate; Lonca = loncastuximab tesirine

RTP RESEARCH TO PRACTICE

Caimi PF et al. Lancet Oncol 2021;22(6):790-800.

FDA Approves Lisocabtagene Maraleucel for R/R Large B-Cell Lymphoma Press Release – February 5, 2021

"The Food and Drug Administration approved lisocabtagene maraleucel for the treatment of adult patients with relapsed or refractory (R/R) large B-cell lymphoma after two or more lines of systemic therapy, including diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL) not otherwise specified (including DLBCL arising from indolent lymphoma), high-grade B-cell lymphoma, primary mediastinal large B-cell lymphoma, and follicular lymphoma grade 3B.

Lisocabtagene maraleucel is a CD19-directed chimeric antigen receptor (CAR) T cell immunotherapy. It consists of autologous T cells that are genetically modified to produce a CAR protein, allowing the T cells to identify and eliminate CD19-expressing normal and malignant cells.

Efficacy was evaluated in TRANSCEND (NCT02631044), a single-arm, open label, multicenter trial that evaluated lisocabtagene maraleucel, preceded by lymphodepleting chemotherapy, in adults with R/R large B-cell lymphoma after at least two lines of therapy."

https://www.fda.gov/drugs/resources-information-approved-drugs/fda-approves-lisocabtagene-maraleucel-relapsedor-refractory-large-b-cell-lymphoma



Characteristics of Pivotal Trials of Axi-cel and Tisagenlecleucel

| Variable | ZUMA-1 (axi-cel) | JULIET (tisagenlecleucel) | ZUMA-7 (axi-cel group) | BELINDA (tisagenlecleucel group) | ZUMA-7 (standard-care group) | BELINDA (standard-care group) |
|--|-----------------------|--|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Primary end point | Overall response rate | Overall response rate | Event-free survival | Event-free survival after wk 12 | Event-free survival | Event-free survival after wk 12 |
| Histologic type | | | | | | |
| DLBCL, NOS — no. (%) | 77 (76) | 88 (79) | 126 (70) | 101 (62) | 120 (67) | 112 (70) |
| HGBL, DH — no./total no. (%) | NR | 19/70 (27) | 31/180 (17) | 32/162 (20) | 25/179 (14) | 19/160 (12) |
| HGBL, NOS — no. (%) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 (4) | 1 (1) | 8 (5) |
| FL grade 3B — no. <mark>(</mark> %) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 (3) | 0 | 1 (1) |
| PMBL — no. (%) | 8 (8) | 0 | 0 | 12 (7) | 0 | 13 (8) |
| Other or missing — no. (%) | 0 | 2 (2) | 23 (13) | 5 (3) | 33 (18) | 7 (4) |
| Transformed lymphoma — no. (%) | 16 (16) | 21 (19) | 19 (11) | 27 (17) | 27 (15) | 22 (14) |
| Clinical outcomes | | | | | | |
| Response — % | 82 | 52 (efficacy cohort); 34 (ITT cohort) | 83 | 46 | 50 | 42 |
| Complete response — % | 54 | 40 (efficacy cohort) | 65 | 28 | 32 | 28 |
| Median follow-up — mo | 27.1 | 40.3 | 25 | 10 | 25 | 10 |
| 2-Yr progression-free survival — % | Approx. 40 | Approx. 35 | 46 | NR | 27 | NR |
| 2-Yr progression-free survival among patients with com- plete response — % | 72 | Approx. 80 | NR | NR | NR | NR |
| 2-Yr overall survival — % | 51 | Approx. 45 | 61 | NR | 52 | NR |



Roschewski M et al. N Engl J Med 2022;386(7):692-96.

CAR T-Cell Therapy-Associated Cytokine Release Syndrome (CRS)

CRS — May be mild or life-threatening

- Occurs with CART19 activation and expansion
- Dramatic cytokine elevations (IL-6, IL10, IFNx, CRP, ferritin)
- Fevers initially (can be quite high: 105°F)
- Myalgias, fatigue, nausea/anorexia
- Capillary leak, headache, hypoxia and hypotension
- CRS-related mortality 3% to 10%



Cytokine Release Syndrome (CRS): Common Symptoms





CAR T-Cell Therapy-Associated Neurologic Toxicity

Neurologic toxicity — May be mild or life-threatening

- Mechanism unclear, referred to as immune effector cell-associated neurotoxicity syndrome (ICANS)
- Encephalopathy
- Seizures
- Delirium, confusion, aphasia, agitation, sedation, coma



Example of Handwriting Deterioration Associated with Neurotoxicity from CAR T-Cell Therapy





ZUMA-12 Study Demonstrates 78% Complete Response Rate as Part of First-Line Treatment in Newly Diagnosed High-Risk Large B-Cell Lymphoma Press Release – December 13, 2021

"Primary results were announced from ZUMA-12, a global, multicenter, single-arm, open-label Phase 2 study evaluating axicabtagene ciloleucel as part of first-line treatment in patients with high-risk large B-cell lymphoma (LBCL). This is the first study to evaluate CAR T-cell therapy as part of first-line therapy in high-risk LBCL. The study is based on the desire to utilize potential curative treatment as quickly as possible and the hypothesis that earlier use of CAR T-cell therapy when T cells are healthier may produce better outcomes. The data were presented in an oral session during the 63rd American Society of Hematology (ASH) Annual Meeting & Exposition (Abstract #739).

After a single infusion of axicabtagene ciloleucel, 89% of evaluable patients achieved a response (ORR) (n=37 evaluable for efficacy), including 78% of patients with a complete response (CR) at a median follow-up of 15.9 months. CR rate was consistent among key subgroups. Among evaluable patients, median time to response was one month. At time of data cut-off, 73% of evaluable patients had ongoing responses. Medians for duration of response (DOR), event-free survival (EFS), and progression-free survival (PFS) were not yet reached, with 12-month estimates of 81%, 73%, and 75%, respectively, and an estimated 12-month OS rate of 91%."

https://www.gilead.com/news-and-press/press-room/press-releases/2021/12/yescarta-zuma12-study-demonstrates-78-complete-response-rate-as-part-of-firstline-treatment-in-newly-diagnosed-highrisk-large-bcell-lymphoma



medicine



OPEN

Axicabtagene ciloleucel as first-line therapy in high-risk large B-cell lymphoma: the phase 2 ZUMA-12 trial

Sattva S. Neelapu[®]¹^M, Michael Dickinson[®]², Javier Munoz³, Matthew L. Ulrickson³, Catherine Thieblemont[®]^{4,5}, Olalekan O. Oluwole⁶, Alex F. Herrera⁷, Chaitra S. Ujjani⁸, Yi Lin⁹, Peter A. Riedell¹⁰, Natasha Kekre¹¹, Sven de Vos¹², Christine Lui¹³, Francesca Milletti¹³, Jinghui Dong¹³, Hairong Xu¹³ and Julio C. Chavez¹⁴

Nat Med 2022;[Online ahead of print].



Multicenter Phase II ZUMA-12 Schema: First-Line Therapy

Eligibility criteria

- Age ≥ 18 years
- High-risk LBCL
 - HGBCL, with MYC and BLCL2 and/or BCL6 translocations, or
 - LBCL with IPI score ≥ 3 any time before enrollment
- 2 cycles of anti-CD20 plus anthracycline-containing regimen
- Positive interim PET (DS 4 or 5)
- ECOG PS score 0 or 1



Conditioning chemotherapy + axi-cel infusion

- Conditioning
 - Flu 30 mg/m² i.v. and Cy 500 mg/m² i.v.
 on Days -5, -4, and -3
- Axi-cel
 - Single i.v. infusion of 2×10^6 CAR T cells/kg on Day 0

Primary endpoint

• CR (complete response)^b

Key secondary endpoints

- ORR (objective response rate)
- DOR (duration of response)
- EFS (event-free survival)
- PFS
- OS
- Safety
- CAR T cells in blood and cytokine levels in serum



ZUMA-12: Efficacy Results with Axi-cel as First-Line Treatment



ORR and CR in efficacy-evaluable patients (N = 37)

- At median follow-up of 15.9 months, 73% of patients remained in objective response
- Median DOR, EFS and PFS were not reached

RTP RESEARCH TO PRACTICE

Neelapu SS et al. Nat Med 2022;[Online ahead of print].

ZUMA-12: Adverse Events of Interest in ≥15% of Patients Receiving Treatment

| Adverse event ^a , <i>n</i> (%) | Grade 1 | Grade 2 | Grade≥3 | Total |
|---|---------|---------|---------|----------|
| Subjects with any CRS ^a | 27 (68) | 10 (25) | 3 (8) | 40 (100) |
| Pyrexia | 8 (20) | 28 (70) | 4 (10) | 40 (100) |
| Hypotension | 7 (18) | 5 (13) | 0(0) | 12 (30) |
| Chills | 9 (23) | 1(3) | 0(0) | 10 (25) |
| Нурохіа | 2 (5) | 2 (5) | 5 (13) | 9 (23) |
| Sinus tachycardia | 6 (15) | 0(0) | 0(0) | 6 (15) |
| Subjects with any neurologic events | 14 (35) | 6 (15) | 9 (23) | 29 (73) |
| Confusional state | 7 (18) | 2 (5) | 2 (5) | 11 (28) |
| Encephalopathy | 2 (5) | 2 (5) | 6 (15) | 10 (25) |
| Tremor | 8 (20) | 2 (5) | 0 (0) | 10 (25) |

^aAdverse events include those with onset on or after axi-cel infusion date and coded using MedDRA v.23.1. Neurologic events were identified using the modified blinatumomab registrational study³⁵. CRS was graded according to Lee et al.³⁶. The severity of all adverse events, including neurologic events and symptoms of CRS, was graded according to CTCAE v.5.0.



Long-Term (4- and 5-Year) Overall Survival in ZUMA-1, the Pivotal Study of Axicabtagene Ciloleucel (Axi-cel) in Patients with Refractory Large B-Cell Lymphoma (LBCL)

Jacobson C et al. ASH 2021;Abstract 1764.



ZUMA-1: Five-Year Update



- Median time to next cancer therapy: 8.7 months
- Safety findings were similar to those in previous reports





N Engl J Med 2022;386(7):640-54.

The NEW ENGLAND JOURNAL of MEDICINE

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Axicabtagene Ciloleucel as Second-Line Therapy for Large B-Cell Lymphoma

F.L. Locke, D.B. Miklos, C.A. Jacobson, M.-A. Perales, M.-J. Kersten,
O.O. Oluwole, A. Ghobadi, A.P. Rapoport, J. McGuirk, J.M. Pagel, J. Muñoz,
U. Farooq, T. van Meerten, P.M. Reagan, A. Sureda, I.W. Flinn, P. Vandenberghe,
K.W. Song, M. Dickinson, M.C. Minnema, P.A. Riedell, L.A. Leslie, S. Chaganti,
Y. Yang, S. Filosto, J. Shah, M. Schupp, C. To, P. Cheng, L.I. Gordon, and
J.R. Westin, for All ZUMA-7 Investigators and Contributing Kite Members*



ZUMA-7: Event-Free Survival





ZUMA-7: Overall and Progression-Free Survival





ZUMA-7: Event-Free Survival Subgroup Analysis

| Subgroup no. | Axi-cel | Standard Care with event/total no. | Hazard Ratio for Ev (95% C | |
|--|---------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|
| Overall | 108/180 | 144/179 | HeH I | 0.40 (0.31-0.51) |
| Age | | , i | | |
| <65 yr | 81/129 | 96/121 | H H H | 0.49 (0.36-0.67) |
| ≥65 yr | 27/51 | 48/58 | — | 0.28 (0.16-0.46) |
| Response to first-line therapy at randomization | | | | |
| Primary refractory disease | 85/133 | 106/131 | HeH | 0.43 (0.32-0.57) |
| Relapse ≤12 mo after initiation or completion of first-line therapy | 23/47 | 38/48 | ⊢ ●−1 | 0.34 (0.20-0.58) |
| Second-line age-adjusted IPI | | | | |
| 0 or 1 | 54/98 | 73/100 | H#H | 0.41 (0.28-0.58) |
| 2 or 3 | 54/82 | 71/79 | H H H | 0.39 (0.27-0.56) |
| Prognostic marker according to central laboratory | | | | |
| HGBL, double- or triple-hit | 15/31 | 21/25 | | 0.28 (0.14-0.59) |
| Double-expressor lymphoma | 35/57 | 50/62 | H | 0.42 (0.27-0.67) |
| Molecular subgroup according to central laboratory | | | | |
| Germinal center B-cell–like | 64/109 | 80/99 | H#H | 0.41 (0.29-0.57) |
| Activated B-cell–like | 11/16 | 9/9 н | | 0.18 (0.05-0.72) |
| Unclassified | 8/17 | 12/14 | | _ |
| Disease type according to investigator | | | | |
| DLBCL, not otherwise specified | 68/110 | 97/116 | H#H | 0.37 (0.27-0.52) |
| Large-cell transformation from follicular lymphoma | 10/19 | 24/27 | ⊢ | 0.35 (0.16-0.77) |
| HGBL, including rearrangement of MYC with BCL2 or BCL6 or both | 23/43 | 18/27 | ⊢_ ● | 0.47 (0.24-0.90) |
| Disease type according to central laboratory | | | | |
| DLBCL | 79/126 | 95/120 | H#H | 0.44 (0.32-0.60) |
| HGBL, including rearrangement of MYC with BCL2 or BCL6 or both | 15/31 | 21/26 0.01 | 0.1 0.2 0.5 1.0 2.0 | 0.28 (0.14–0.59) |

Axi-cel Better Standard Care Better



ZUMA-7: Select Grade ≥3 Adverse Events

| Adverse event | Axi-cel (N = 170) | Standard care (N = 168) | |
|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|--|
| Pyrexia | 9% | 1% | |
| Neutropenia | 69% | 41% | |
| Fatigue | 6% | 2% | |
| Anemia | 30% | 39% | |
| Thrombocytopenia | 15% | 57% | |
| Febrile neutropenia | 2% | 27% | |
| Cytokine release syndrome | 6% | 0 | |
| Neurologic event | 21% | 1% | |
| Vomiting | 0 | 1% | |



N Engl J Med 2022;386(7):629-39.

The NEW ENGLAND JOURNAL of MEDICINE

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Second-Line Tisagenlecleucel or Standard Care in Aggressive B-Cell Lymphoma

M.R. Bishop, M. Dickinson, D. Purtill, P. Barba, A. Santoro, N. Hamad, K. Kato, A. Sureda, R. Greil, C. Thieblemont, F. Morschhauser, M. Janz, I. Flinn,
W. Rabitsch, Y.-L. Kwong, M.J. Kersten, M.C. Minnema, H. Holte, E.H.L. Chan, J. Martinez-Lopez, A.M.S. Müller, R.T. Maziarz, J.P. McGuirk, E. Bachy,
S. Le Gouill, M. Dreyling, H. Harigae, D. Bond, C. Andreadis, P. McSweeney, M. Kharfan-Dabaja, S. Newsome, E. Degtyarev, R. Awasthi, C. del Corral,
G. Andreola, A. Masood, S.J. Schuster, U. Jäger, P. Borchmann, and J.R. Westin



BELINDA: Event-Free Survival (Primary Endpoint)





Bishop MR et al. *N Engl J Med* 2022;386(7):629-39.

BELINDA: Select Grade ≥3 Adverse Events

| Adverse event | Tisagenlecleucel (N = 162) | Standard care (N = 160) | |
|---------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| Anemia | 33.3% | 57.5% | |
| Nausea | 1.2% | 6.3% | |
| Thrombocytopenia | 32.1% | 47.5% | |
| Neutropenia | 40.1% | 39.4% | |
| Cytokine release syndrome | 4.9% | 0 | |
| Hypokalemia | 4.9% | 8.8% | |
| Diarrhea | 1.9% | 3.8% | |
| Pyrexia | 0 | 1.9% | |
| Vomiting | 0.6% | 1.9% | |



Lisocabtagene Maraleucel, a CD19-Directed Chimeric Antigen Receptor T Cell Therapy, Versus Standard of Care with Salvage Chemotherapy Followed by Autologous Stem Cell Transplantation as Second-Line Treatment in Patients with Relapsed or Refractory Large B-Cell Lymphoma: Results from the Randomized Phase 3 TRANSFORM Study

Manali Kamdar,¹ Scott R. Solomon,² Jon Arnason,³ Patrick B. Johnston,⁴ Bertram Glass,⁵ Veronika Bachanova,⁶ Sami Ibrahimi,⁷ Stephan Mielke,⁸ Pim Mutsaers,⁹ Francisco Hernandez-Ilizaliturri,¹⁰ Koji Izutsu,¹¹ Franck Morschhauser,¹² Matthew Lunning,¹³ David G. Maloney,¹⁴ Alessandro Crotta,¹⁵ Sandrine Montheard,¹⁵ Alessandro Previtali,¹⁵ Lara Stepan,¹⁶ Ken Ogasawara,¹⁶ Timothy Mack,¹⁶ Jeremy S. Abramson¹⁷

¹University of Colorado Cancer Center, Aurora, CO, USA; ²Northside Hospital Cancer Institute, Atlanta, GA, USA; ³Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, Boston, MA, USA; ⁴Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN, USA; ⁵Helios Klinikum Berlin-Buch, Berlin, Germany; ⁶University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN, USA; ⁷University of Oklahoma Stephenson Cancer Center, Oklahoma City, OK, USA; ⁸Center of Allogeneic Stem Cell Transplantation and Cellular Therapy (CAST), Karolinska Institutet and University Hospital, Stockholm, Sweden; ⁹Erasmus University Medical Center, Rotterdam, The Netherlands, on behalf of HOVON/LLPC; ¹⁰Roswell Park Comprehensive Cancer Center, Buffalo, NY, USA; ¹¹National Cancer Center Hospital, Tokyo, Japan; ¹²Université de Lille, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Lille. ULR 7365, GRITA - Groupe de Recherche sur les formes Injectables et les Technologies Associées, Lille, France; ¹³University of Nebraska Medical Center, Omaha, NE, USA; ¹⁴Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center, Seattle, WA, USA; ¹⁵Celgene, a Bristol-Myers Squibb Company, Boudry, Switzerland; ¹⁶Bristol Myers Squibb, Princeton, NJ, USA; ¹⁷Massachusetts General Hospital Cancer Center, Boston, MA, USA



TRANSFORM: Event-Free Survival per Independent Review Committee (ITT, Primary Endpoint)



Median follow-up in both arms: 6.2 months

| | Liso-cel arm (n = 92) | SOC arm (n = 92) |
|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------|
| Patients with events, n | 35 | 63 |
| Stratified HR (95% CI) | d HR (95% CI) 0.349 (0.229–0.530) | |
| | <i>P</i> < 0.0001 | |
| 6-month EFS rate, % (SE) | 63.3 (5.77) | 33.4 (5.30) |
| Two-sided 95% CI | 52.0-74.7 | 23.0-43.8 |
| 12-month EFS rate, % (SE) | 44.5 (7.72) | 23.7 (5.28) |
| Two-sided 95% CI | 29.4-59.6 | 13.4-34.1 |

One-sided *P* value significance threshold to reject the null hypothesis was < 0.012



Kamdar M et al. ASH 2021; Abstract 91.

Hodgkin Lymphoma



Limited, But Not Eliminated, Excess Long-Term Morbidity in Stage I-IIA Hodgkin Lymphoma Treated With Doxorubicin, Bleomycin, Vinblastine, and Dacarbazine and Limited-Field Radiotherapy

Ingemar Lagerlöf, MD¹; Helena Fohlin, PhD²; Gunilla Enblad, MD, PhD¹; Bengt Glimelius, MD, PhD¹; Christina Goldkuhl, MD³; Marzia Palma, MD, PhD⁴; Lisa Åkesson, BS²; Ingrid Glimelius, MD, PhD¹; and Daniel Molin, MD, PhD¹

J Clin Oncol 2022;40(13):1487-96.

AUTHOR CONCLUSIONS: Compared with toxicity from earlier RT techniques, excess morbidity was not eliminated, but lower than previously reported. The elevated risk of diseases of the respiratory system was driven by diagnosis of asthma, which could in part be explained by misdiagnosis of persisting pulmonary toxicity.



Brentuximab Vedotin Combined With Chemotherapy in Patients With Newly Diagnosed Early-Stage, Unfavorable-Risk Hodgkin Lymphoma

Anita Kumar, MD¹; Carla Casulo, MD²; Ranjana H. Advani, MD³; Elizabeth Budde, MD⁴; Paul M. Barr, MD²; Connie L. Batlevi, MD, PhD¹; Philip Caron, MD¹; Louis S. Constine, MD²; Savita V. Dandapani, MD⁴; Esther Drill, MD¹; Pamela Drullinsky, MD¹; Jonathan W. Friedberg, MD²; Clare Grieve, BA¹; Audrey Hamilton, MD¹; Paul A. Hamlin, MD¹; Richard T. Hoppe, MD³; Steven M. Horwitz, MD¹; Ashlee Joseph, BA¹; Niloufer Khan, MD¹; Leana Laraque, BA¹; Matthew J. Matasar, MD¹; Alison J. Moskowitz, MD¹; Ariela Noy, MD¹; Maria Lia Palomba, MD¹; Heiko Schöder, MD¹; David J. Straus, MD¹; Shreya Vemuri, BA¹; Joanna Yang, MD⁵; Anas Younes, MD⁶; Andrew D. Zelenetz, MD, PhD¹; Joachim Yahalom, MD¹; and Craig H. Moskowitz, MD⁷

or

ts

J Clin Oncol 2021;39(20):2257-65.



Multicenter Pilot Study of Brentuximab Vedotin (BV) and AVD with or without Consolidative Radiation Therapy for Early-Stage, Unfavorable-Risk Hodgkin Lymphoma

 Patients who achieved a negative end-of-therapy (EOT) PET-4 scan after 4 cycles of BV + AVD were studied with de-escalating radiation dose and field.

| Clinical endpoint | Cohort 1 30-Gy ISRT (n = 29) | Cohort 2 20-Gy ISRT (n = 29) | Cohort 3 30-Gy CVRT (n = 29) | Cohort 4 No radiation (n = 29) | All patients (n = 114) |
|-------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| EOT CR rate | 27 (93%) | 29 (100%) | 27 (93%) | 28 (97%) | 111 (96%) |
| 2-year PFS rate | 93.1% | 96.6% | 89.7% | 96.6% | 94% |

"BV + AVD x four cycles is a highly active and well-tolerated treatment program for ES, unfavorable-risk Hodgkin lymphoma, including bulky disease. The efficacy of BV + AVD supports the safe reduction or elimination of consolidative radiation among PET-4–negative patients."



Follicular Lymphoma


Long-Term Follow-Up Analysis of ZUMA-5: A Phase 2 Study of Axicabtagene Ciloleucel (Axi-Cel) in Patients With Relapsed/Refractory Indolent Non-Hodgkin Lymphoma

Sattva S. Neelapu, MD^{1*}; Julio C. Chavez, MD^{2*}; Alison R. Sehgal, MD³; Narendranath Epperla, MD, MS⁴; Matthew Ulrickson, MD⁵; Emmanuel Bachy, MD, PhD⁶; Pashna N. Munshi, MD⁷; Carla Casulo, MD⁸; David G. Maloney, MD, PhD⁹; Sven de Vos, MD, PhD¹⁰; Ran Reshef, MD¹¹; Lori A. Leslie, MD¹²; Olalekan O. Oluwole, MD, MPH, MBBS¹³; Ibrahim Yakoub-Agha, MD, PhD¹⁴; Rashmi Khanal, MD¹⁵; Joseph Rosenblatt, MD¹⁶; Marika Sherman, MSHS¹⁷; Jinghui Dong, PhD¹⁷; Alessandro Giovanetti, BSc¹⁷; Yin Yang, MD, PhD¹⁷; Christine Lui, MS¹⁷; Zahid Bashir, MBBS; MS¹⁷; A. Scott Jung, MD¹⁷; and Caron A. Jacobson, MD¹⁸

 ¹The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, Texas, USA; ²University of South Florida H. Lee Moffitt Cancer Center and Research Institute, Tampa, FL, USA; ³UPMC Hillman Cancer Center, Pittsburgh, PA, USA; ⁴The Ohio State University Comprehensive Cancer Center, Columbus, OH, USA; ⁵Banner MD Anderson Cancer Center, Gilbert, AZ, USA; ⁶Centre Hospitalier Lyon Sud, Pierre-Bénite, France;
⁷Georgetown Lombardi Comprehensive Cancer Center, Washington, DC, USA; ⁸University of Rochester Medical Center - James P. Wilmot Cancer Center, Rochester, NY, USA; ⁹Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center, Seattle, WA, USA; ¹⁰Ronald Reagan University of California Los Angeles Medical Center, Santa Monica, CA, USA; ¹¹Columbia University Herbert Irving Comprehensive Cancer Center, New York City, NY, USA; ¹²John Theurer Cancer Center, Hackensack, NJ, USA; ¹³Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, TN, USA; ¹⁴CHU de Lille, Univ Lille, INSERM U1286, Infinite, 59000 Lille, France; ¹⁵Fox Chase Cancer Center, Philadelphia, PA, USA ¹⁶University of Miami Sylvester Comprehensive Cancer Center, Miami, FL, USA; ¹⁷Kite, a Gilead Company, Santa Monica, CA, USA; and ¹⁸Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Boston, MA, USA *Equal contributors



ASH 2021; Abstract 93.

ZUMA-5: Overall Response Rate (ORR) by Central Review



• Among efficacy-eligible patients with iNHL (n=110), the ORR was 92% (95% CI, 85–96), with a 75% CR rate

• Among all treated patients with iNHL (n=149), the ORR was 92% (95% CI, 86–96), with a 77% CR rate

Neelapu SS et al. ASH 2021; Abstract 93.

ZUMA-5: PFS and Overall Survival



- Median OS was not yet reached in efficacy-eligible patients with FL or MZL
- Among patients with FL, 3 deaths occurred after Month 24^a; no disease progression events occurred after Month 24



ZUMA-5: AEs with First Occurrence After Primary Analysis Data Cutoff

| | Follicular Lymphoma (N=124) | | Marginal Zone Lymphoma (N=25) | | All Patients (N=149) | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------|----------|----------------------------------|----------|-------------------------|----------|
| AE, n (%) | Any Grade | Grade ≥3 | Any Grade | Grade ≥3 | Any Grade | Grade ≥3 |
| Any AE | 27 (22) | 14 (11) | 11 (44) | 6 (24) | 38 (26) | 20 (13) |
| Serious AE | 11 (9) | 11 (9) | 4 (16) | 4 (16) | 15 (10) | 15 (10) |
| Cytopenia | 8 (6) | 4 (3) | 3 (12) | 3 (12) | 11 (7) | 7 (5) |
| Infection | 18 (15) | 7 (6) | 7 (28) | 4 (16) | 25 (17) | 11 (7) |
| CRS | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 2 (8) | 0 (0) | 2 (1) | 0 (0) |
| Neurologic event | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 2 (8) | 0 (0) | 2 (1) | 0 (0) |
| Hypogammaglobulinemia | 2 (2) | 0 (0) | 2 (8) | 0 (0) | 4 (3) | 0 (0) |
| Tumor lysis syndrome | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) |

Grade 5 AEs occurred in 6 patients after the data cutoff of the primary analysis^b

- Grade 5 infectious AEs occurred in 5 patients: 1 COVID-19 (FL, Day 717, unrelated), 1 COVID-19 pneumonia (FL, Day 780, related to axi-cel), 1 PML^c (FL, Day 697, related to axi-cel and CC) and 2 sepsis (FL, Day 1204; MZL, Day 139; both unrelated)
- Acute bilineal leukemia occurred in 1 patient (FL, Day 623, CC related)

^a Includes all AEs that occurred after the primary analysis data cutoff date (March 12, 2020) and by the data cutoff date of the current analysis (March 31, 2021). ^b No Grade 5 AEs were due to progressive disease. ^c The Grade 5 PML event occurred after axi-cel retreatment.



FDA Approves Tisagenlecleucel for Relapsed or Refractory Follicular Lymphoma Press Release: May 27, 2022

"On May 27, 2022, the Food and Drug Administration granted accelerated approval to tisagenlecleucel for adult patients with relapsed or refractory follicular lymphoma (FL) after two or more lines of systemic therapy.

The approval was based on the ELARA trial (NCT03568461), a multicenter, single-arm, open-label trial evaluating tisagenlecleucel, a CD19-directed chimeric antigen receptor (CAR) T cell therapy, in adult patients who were refractory or relapsed within 6 months after completion of two or more lines of systemic therapy (including an anti-CD20 antibody and an alkylating agent) or relapsed after autologous hematopoietic stem cell transplant."



Efficacy of Tisagenlecleucel in Adult Patients with High-Risk Relapsed/Refractory Follicular Lymphoma: Subgroup Analysis of the Phase II ELARA Study

Catherine Thieblemont,¹ Michael Dickinson,² Joaquin Martinez-Lopez,³ Arne Kolstad,⁴ Jason P. Butler,⁵ Monalisa Ghosh,⁶ Leslie L. Popplewell,⁷ Julio C. Chavez,⁸ Emmanuel Bachy,⁹ Koji Kato,¹⁰ Hideo Harigae,¹¹ Marie José Kersten,¹² Charalambos Andreadis,¹³ Peter A. Riedell,¹⁴ P. Joy Ho,¹⁵ José Antonio Pérez-Simón,¹⁶ Andy I. Chen,¹⁷ Loretta J. Nastoupil,¹⁸ Bastian von Tresckow,¹⁹ Andrés José María Ferreri,²⁰ Takanori Teshima,²¹ Piers EM Patten,²² Joseph P. McGuirk,²³ Andreas Petzer,²⁴ Fritz Offner,²⁵ Andreas Viardot,²⁶ Pier Luigi Zinzani,²⁷ Ram Malladi,²⁸ Aiesha Zia,²⁹ Chiara Lobetti Bodoni,²⁹ Aisha Masood,³⁰ Stephen J. Schuster,³¹ Nathan H. Fowler,³² Martin H. Dreyling,³³

¹Department of Hemato-Oncology, Saint Louis Hospital, Paris, France; ²Department of Clinical Haematology, Peter MacCallum Cancer Centre and The Royal Melbourne, Australia; ³Centro de Investigación Biomédica en Red Cáncer (CIBERONC), Hospital Universitario 12 de Octubre, Complutense University, ONIO, Madrid, Spain; ⁴Oslo University Hospital Radiumhospitalet, Oslo, Norway; ⁹Royal Brisbane and Wormer's Hospital, Brisbane, Australia; ³Michigan Medicine University of Microgan Medical Centre, Duarte, CA, USA; ¹Division of Maignant Hematology, H. Lee Moffitt Cancer Center and Research Institute, Tampa, FL, USA; ⁴Hospices Civils de Lyon and University Hospital, Sendai, Japan; ¹¹Tohoku University Hospital, Sendai, Japan; ¹²Amsterdam UMC, Department of Hematology, Amsterdam UMC, Inversity of Amsterdam, Netherlands; ¹³Helen Ostital, Sendai, Japan; ¹²Amsterdam UMC, Department of Hematology, Amsterdam UMC, Inversity of Sydney, Sydney, Austalia; ¹⁰Department of Hematology, Hospital University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, San Francisco, San Francisco, San Villa, Spain, ¹⁰Osto Chicago Medical Center, Chicago, IL, USA; ¹⁶Royal Prince Alfred Hospital and Department of Lymphoma and Myeloma, The University of Texas, MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX, USA; ¹⁰University of Cologne, Cologne, Germany; ²⁰Lymphoma Unit, Department of Nematology, HCCS San Raffaele Scientific Institute, Milano, Italy; ²¹Department of Hematology, Hospital Sendari, ²⁰Londari, ²⁰Londari, ²⁰Londari, ²⁰Londor, UK; ²¹Division of Hematologi CMalignant Hematology, IRCCS San Raffaele Center, King's College Hospital, London, UK; ²¹Division of Hematologi CMalignant Hematology, IRCCS San Raffaele Scientific Institute, Milano, Italy; ²⁰Department of Hematology, Hospital Sendari, ²⁰Londari, ²⁰Londari, ²⁰Londor, UK; ²⁰Division of Hematologi CMalignant Hematology, IRCCS San Raffaele Scientific Institute, Milano, Italy; ²⁰Department of Hematology, Hospital Sendari, ²⁰Londor, University Hosp



Thieblemont C et al. ASH 2021;Abstract 131.

ELARA: Efficacy Analysis with Extended Follow-Up

- As of March 29, 2021, 97 patients received tisagenlecleucel and 94 were evaluable for efficacy
- CR rates are consistent and durable for the interim, primary analyses, and this extended follow-up analysis
- Complete response correlated with durability and prolonged PFS
 - Among patients who achieved CR, 12month PFS was 85.5% (95% CI, 74-92) and estimated DOR rate at 9 months was 86.5% (95% CI, 75-93)

| Efficacy Results of Extended Follow-up Analysis | | |
|---|-------------------------|--|
| Endpoint | % (95% CI) | |
| ORRª | 86.2 (77.5-92.4) | |
| CRR ^a | 69.1 (58.8-78.3) | |
| 12-mo PFS | 67.0 (56.0-75.8) | |
| 9-mo DOR | 76.0 (64.6-84.2) | |
| | | |

a sur De sulta of Eutomala d'Esllour un Anglusia

^aORR and CRR were comparable with the primary efficacy analysis (ORR 86.2% and CRR 66.0%).



Mosunetuzumab Monotherapy is an Effective and Well-Tolerated Treatment Option for Patients with Relapsed/ Refractory (R/R) Follicular Lymphoma (FL) who have Received ≥2 Prior Lines of Therapy: Pivotal Results from a Phase I/II Study

L Elizabeth Budde,¹ Laurie H Sehn,² Matthew Matasar,³ Stephen J Schuster,⁴ Sarit Assouline,⁵ Pratyush Giri,⁶ John Kuruvilla,⁷ Miguel Canales,⁸ Sascha Dietrich,⁹ Keith Fay,¹⁰ Matthew Ku,¹¹ Loretta Nastoupil,¹² Michael C Wei,¹³ Shen Yin,¹³ Michelle Y Doral,¹³ Chi-Chung Li,¹³ Huang Huang,¹⁴ Raluca Negricea,¹⁵ Elicia Penuel,¹³ Carol O'Hear,¹³ Nancy L Bartlett¹⁶

¹City of Hope, Duarte, CA, USA; ²BC Cancer Centre for Lymphold Cancer and University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, Canada; ³Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY, USA; ¹Lymphome Program, Abramson Cancer Center, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA; ¹Lymphome Program, Abramson Cancer Center, New York, NY, USA; ¹Lymphome Program, Abramson Cancer Center, Toronto, ON, Canada; ¹Hospital Universiterio La Paz, Medrid, Spain, ¹Universitel Heidelberg, Heidelberg, Germany; ¹St Vincent's Hospital and Royal North Store Hospital, Sydney, Australia; ¹¹St Vincent's Hospital, University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Melbourne, Australia; ¹³MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, 7X, USA; ¹¹Genentech, Inc., South San Francisco, CA, USA; ¹¹Hofmann-La Roche Ltd, Mississeuge, ON, Canada; ¹³Roche Products Ltd, Welwyn Garden City, United Kingdom; ¹⁰Siteman Cencer Center, Weshington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO, USA

Accepted as an Oral Presentation at the 63rd ASH Annual Meeting and Exposition

63rd ASH' Annual Meeting and Exposition





ASH 2021; Abstract 127.

Safety Profile of Mosunetuzumab Monotherapy for Patients with R/R FL Who Have Received ≥2 Lines of Therapy

| N (%) | N=90 | |
|---|--------------------------|---|
| AE Mosunetuzumab related* | 90 (100%) 83 (92.2%) | AEs (≥15%) by Gr and relationship with mosunetuzumab Any AE Any AE related to mosunetuzumab |
| Grade 3–4 AE Mosunetuzumab related* | 63 (70.0%) 46 (51.1%) | CRS End Fatigue Image: Comparison of the second se |
| Serious AE Mosunetuzumab related* | 42 (46.7%) 30 (33.3%) | Hypophosphatemia Pruritus Neutropenia Hypokalemia |
| Grade 5 (fatal) AE Mosunetuzumab related* | 2 (2.2%)† 0 | Constipation Cough Diarrhea Nausea Grade 2 Grade 3 |
| AE leading to discontinuation of treatment Mosunetuzumab related* | 4 (4.4%)‡ 2 (2.2%)‡ | Dry skin Rash 100 80 60 40 20 00 20 40 60 80 10 Rate (%) Rate (%) |

*AE considered related to treatment by the investigator; [†]mosunetuzumab unrelated: malignant neoplasm progression and unexplained death (1 patient each); [‡]mosunetuzumab related: CRS (2 patients); mosunetuzumab unrelated: Esptein-Barr viremia and Hodgkin's disease (1 patient each); AE, adverse event; Gr, Grade



Budde EL et al. ASH 2021;Abstract 127.

128



Glofitamab as Monotherapy and in Combination with Obinutuzumab Induces High Complete Response Rates in Patients with Multiple Relapsed or Refractory (R/R) Follicular Lymphoma (FL)

Franck Morschhauser,¹ Carmelo Carlo-Stella,² Michael Dickinson,³ Tycel Phillips,⁴ Roch Houot,⁵ Fritz Offner,⁶ Corinne Haioun,⁷ Paolo Corradini,⁸ Martin Hutchings,⁹ Anna Sureda,¹⁰ Joaquin Martinez-Lopez,¹¹ Tomasz Wróbel,¹² Shang-Ju Wu,¹³ Linda Lundberg,¹⁴ Estefania Mulvihill,¹⁴ David Perez-Callejo,¹⁴ James Relf,¹⁵ Anesh Panchal,¹⁵ Kathryn Humphrey,¹⁵ Emmanuel Bachy¹⁶

¹CHU Lille, Service des Maladies du Sang, F-59000 Lille, France; ³Humanitas University and Humanitas Research Hospital, Milan, Italy; ³Peter MacCallum Cancer Centre, Royal Melbourne Hospital and The University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Austrais; ³University of Melbigan Medical School, Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA; ⁵CHU de Rennes, University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Melbourne, France; ⁴University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Melbourne, Melbourne, Melbourne, Melbourne, Melbourne, France; ⁴University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Melbourne, Melbourne, France; ⁴University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Melbourne, Melbourne, Melbourne, Melbourne, Melbourne, Melbourne, France; ⁴University of Melbourne, Melbourne,

Accepted as an Oral Presentation at the 63rd ASH Annual Meeting and Exposition



ASH 2021; Abstract 128.



Phase I/II Study of Glofitamab as Monotherapy or in Combination with Obinutuzumab for R/R FL



· Deep responses observed across glofitamab dosing regimens; most complete responses were ongoing

- Myelosuppression was more common with the combination
- Cytokine release syndrome rates were high and comparable, and cases were mainly low grade Morschhauser F et al. ASH 2021;Abstract 128.



Mantle Cell Lymphoma



original reports

Ibrutinib With Rituximab in First-Line Treatment of Older Patients With Mantle Cell Lymphoma

Preetesh Jain, MD, DM, PhD¹; Shuangtao Zhao, PhD²; Hun Ju Lee, MD¹; Holly A. Hill, MPH¹; Chi Young Ok, MD³; Rashmi Kanagal-Shamanna, MD³; Fredrick B. Hagemeister, MD¹; Nathan Fowler, MD¹; Luis Fayad, MD¹; Yixin Yao, PhD¹; Yang Liu, PhD¹; Omar B. Moghrabi, BS¹; Lucy Navsaria, MBBS¹; Lei Feng, MS⁴; Graciela M. Nogueras Gonzalez, MPH⁴; Guofan Xu, MD⁵; Selvi Thirumurthi, MD⁶; David Santos, MD⁷; Cezar Iliescu, MD⁸; Guilin Tang, MD, PhD³; L. Jeffrey Medeiros, MD³; Francisco Vega, MD, PhD³; Michelle Avellaneda, BS¹; Maria Badillo, BS¹; Christopher R. Flowers, MD¹; Linghua Wang, PhD²; and Michael L. Wang, MD¹

J Clin Oncol 2021;40:202-12.



Phase II Trial of Ibrutinib with Rituximab for Older Patients with MCL

| Clinical endpoint | N = 48 |
|---|--------|
| Best overall response rate | 100% |
| Complete metabolic response (CMR) by PET* | 74% |
| 3-year PFS | 87% |
| 3-year OS | 94% |

* In 26 patients who achieved CMR, 21 (81%) had bone marrow negative for MCL

- 0 deaths were reported on study
- 11 (22%) patients had Grade 3 atrial fibrillation
- Grade 3-4 myelosuppression was seen in <5% of patients



ECOG-EA4181: A Phase II Study of BR with High-Dose Cytarabine with or without Acalabrutinib, and BR with Acalabrutinib as Initial Treatment for Patients ≤70 Years Old with MCL



Primary endpoint: PET/CT complete response and peripheral blood minimal residual disease (MRD)-negative rate



www.clinicaltrials.gov. Accessed April 2022; https://ecog-acrin.org/clinical-trials/ea4181-educational-materials/

ECOG-EA4151: A Phase III Trial of Consolidation Therapy with Autologous Hematopoietic Cell Transplantation (HCT) Followed by Maintenance Rituximab versus Maintenance Rituximab Alone for Patients with MCL in MRD-Negative First Complete Remission

Trial Identifier: NCT03267433 (Open)

Histologically confirmed mantle cell lymphoma

No more than 300 days from the first dose of induction chemotherapy (C1D1) until the last day of induction chemotherapy

Postinduction restaging indicates MRD-negative complete remission



Primary endpoint: Overall survival for patients in MRD-negative first remission who undergo auto-HCT followed by rituximab versus maintenance rituximab alone

RTP RESEARCH TO PRACTICE

www.clinicaltrials.gov. Accessed April 2022; https://ecog-acrin.org/clinical-trials/ea4151-educational-materials/

Wang et al. J Hematol Oncol (2021) 14:179 https://doi.org/10.1186/s13045-021-01188-x

Journal of Hematology & Oncology

Open Access

RAPID COMMUNICATION

Concurrent ibrutinib plus venetoclax in relapsed/refractory mantle cell lymphoma: the safety run-in of the phase 3 SYMPATICO study

Michael Wang^{1*}, Radhakrishnan Ramchandren², Robert Chen³, Lionel Karlin⁴, Geoffrey Chong⁵, Wojciech Jurczak⁶, Ka Lung Wu⁷, Mark Bishton⁸, Graham P. Collins⁹, Paul Eliadis¹⁰, Frédéric Peyrade¹¹, Yihua Lee¹², Karl Eckert¹², Jutta K. Neuenburg¹² and Constantine S. Tam¹³



SYMPATICO: Efficacy Outcomes with Concurrent Ibrutinib and Venetoclax for Relapsed/Refractory MCL



- Median duration of response was 32.3 months
- Median PFS was 35.0 months
- Median OS was also 35.0 months

ORR = overall response rate

RTP RESEARCH TO PRACTICE

Wang M et al. J Hematol Oncol 2021;14(1):179.





Zanubrutinib for the treatment of relapsed or refractory mantle cell lymphoma

Constantine S. Tam,¹⁻⁴ Stephen Opat,^{5,6} David Simpson,^{7,8} Gavin Cull,^{9,10} Javier Munoz,¹¹ Tycel J. Phillips,¹² Won Seog Kim,¹³ Simon Rule,¹⁴ Siminder Kaur Atwal,⁸ Rachel Wei,⁸ William Novotny,⁸ Jane Huang,⁸ Michael Wang,^{15,*} and Judith Trotman^{16,*}

Blood Adv 2021;5(12):2577-85.



Phase I/II Study of Zanubrutinib for Relapsed/Refractory MCL

| Response assessment | Investigator-assessed response (N = 32) | IRC-assessed response (N = 32) |
|------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|
| ORR 95% Cl* | 29 (90.6) (75.0-98.0) | 27 (84.4) (67.2-94.7) |
| Best response | | |
| CR | 10 (31.3) | 8 (25.0) |
| PR | 19 (59.4) | 19 (59.4) |
| Stable disease | 1 (3.1) | 2 (6.3) |
| PD | 2 (6.3) | 2 (6.3) |
| Unknownt | 0 | 1 (3.1) |

Unless otherwise noted, data are n (%).

*Two-sided Clopper-Pearson 95% Cls.

†Patient had discontinued treatment and died before signing an updated informed consent to allow scan collection for IRC review.

- Median duration of response was 18.5 months
- Median PFS was 21.1 months

ORR = overall response rate



Tam CS et al. *Blood Adv* 2021;5(12):2577-85.

Pirtobrutinib, a Next Generation, Highly Selective, Non-Covalent BTK Inhibitor in Previously Treated Mantle Cell Lymphoma: Updated Results from the Phase 1/2 BRUIN Study

Wang M et al. ASH 2021;Abstract 381.



BRUIN: Phase I/II Trial Schema



Data cutoff date of 16 July 2021. "Efficacy evaluable patients are those who had at least one post-baseline response assessment or had discontinued treatment prior to first post-baseline response assessment." Other includes DLBCL, WM, FL, MZL, Richter's transformation, B-PLL, Hairy Cell Leukemia, PCNSL, and other transformation.



Wang M et al. ASH 2021; Abstract 381.

BRUIN: A Phase I/II Study of Pirtobrutinib — MCL Cohort



| n=100 |
|-------------|
| 51% (41-61) |
| |
| 25 (25) |
| 26 (26) |
| 16 (16) |
| n=11 |
| 82% (48-98) |
| |
| 2 (18) |
| 7 (64) |
| 1 (9) |
| |

Efficacy also seen in patients with prior:

- Stem cell transplant (n=28): ORR 64% (95% CI: 44-81)
- CAR-T therapy (n=6): ORR 50% (95% CI: 12-88)

Data cutoff date of 16 July 2021. Data for 20 MCL patients are not shown in the waterfall plot due to no measurable target lesions identified by CT at baseline, discontinuation prior to first response assessment, or lack of adequate imaging in follow-up. *Indicates patients with >100% increase in SPD. ^aEfficacy evaluable patients are those who had at least one post-baseline response assessment or had discontinued treatment prior to first post-baseline response assessment. ^bORR includes patients with a best response of CR and PR. Response status per Lugano 2014 criteria based on investigator assessment. Total % may be different than the sum of the individual components due to rounding.



Wang M et al. ASH 2021;Abstract 381.

Efficacy and Safety of Parsaclisib in Patients with Relapsed or Refractory Mantle Cell Lymphoma Not Previously Treated with a BTK Inhibitor: Primary Analysis from a Phase 2 Study (CITADEL-205)

Mehta A et al. ASH 2021;Abstract 382.



CITADEL-205: Response per Independent Review Committee

| | WG (n=31) | DG (n=77) | Total (N=108) |
|--------------------------|-----------|-----------|---------------|
| ORR, n (%) | 20 (64.5) | 54 (70.1) | 74 (68.5) |
| 95% CI | 45.4-80.8 | 58.6-80.0 | 58.9–77.1 |
| Complete response, n (%) | 7 (22.6) | 12 (15.6) | 19 (17.6) |
| Partial response, n (%) | 13 (41.9) | 42 (54.5) | 55 (50.9) |

Author conclusions: Parsaclisib monotherapy demonstrated a rapid and durable response, had an acceptable safety profile, and was generally well tolerated in BTK inhibitor—naive pts with R/R MCL. These data suggest that parsaclisib could be a potential treatment option for pts with R/R MCL.

WG = weekly dosing group; DG = daily dosing group; ORR = objective response rate



Meet The Professor Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer with an Actionable Target Beyond EGFR

> Thursday, June 16, 2022 5:00 PM – 6:00 PM ET

Faculty Melissa Johnson, MD

> Moderator Neil Love, MD



Thank you for joining us!

NCPD credit information will be emailed to each participant within 5 business days.

