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The new world of HER2-positive breast cancer

To go directly to slides and commentary for this issue, click here.

Last week I met with the new Physician-in-Chief at Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center, Dr José Baselga, and after talking a bit about his vision for the future of that preeminent institution we focused on a corner of oncology he has influenced mightily throughout his career, breast cancer research. Not surprisingly, we spent much of our time reviewing anti-HER2 treatment — which has witnessed the FDA approval of 2 new agents in the past 9 months. Dr Baselga got things started by commenting on the Phase III trial he chaired, CLEOPATRA, which clearly demonstrated a substantial boost in efficacy when the HER2 dimerization inhibitor pertuzumab (P) was added to docetaxel and trastuzumab (T) as first-line therapy for metastatic HER2-positive disease. In the trial progression-free survival (PFS) increased from 12.4 to 18.5 months with a similar safety profile, and although the magnitude of this landmark finding surprised many observers, Dr Baselga stated that he fully expected the results based on the substantial antitumor activity seen when P was added to T in patients with disease progression on T in a prior Phase II trial.

We then chatted about the antibody-drug conjugate trastuzumab emtansine (T-DM1) and the EMILIA trial that exploded onto the scene during the ASCO 2012 plenary session, revealing T-DM1’s clear-cut superiority in both efficacy (PFS and overall survival) and tolerability over an established and frequently used regimen (capecitabine/lapatinib) among patients who had previously been treated with T + a taxane. As the agent was just approved 2 weeks before the interview, our conversation took on a different tone, as for the first time I was able to ask an investigator the practical (rather than hypothetical) question of current sequencing of therapy for metastatic HER2-positive disease. Dr Baselga, in commenting on this complex issue that has likely been discussed at every tumor board on the planet, slowly removed his eyeglasses, carefully put them on the desk, thought for a moment and then voiced his perspective, which is similar to
those I have heard recently from Dr Eric Winer and others: “Some people are so excited about T-DM1 that they want to use it first line, but I think this is a time for intellectual calm. Right now, trastuzumab, pertuzumab and a taxane is our standard first-line treatment, with T-DM1 as second line.”

For the record, he and his Memorial colleagues usually choose paclitaxel as a partner for T + P, partially based on the reassuring Phase II data the group reported at San Antonio with this regimen. As I have been known to do, I tried to push Dr Baselga a bit regarding his strong feeling not to use T-DM1 first line and asked him how he would approach an 85-year-old patient with ER-negative, HER2-positive metastatic breast cancer for whom traditional chemotherapy might be out of place. He, however, stuck to his guns, commenting that a short taxane course (with T + P) in many fit, older patients is a well-tolerated life investment that results in a median progression-free interval of 18 months.

Whatever the algorithm is for now, it may very well change in a year or so when the MARIANNE study reports. This crucial Phase III first-line trial compares T + a taxane to T-DM1 alone or with P. Dr Baselga very clearly stated his opposition to the nonprotocol use of T-DM1 combined with P until more trial data become available, and other investigators, including Dr Winer, have done the same.

Of course, many other complex questions remain about the treatment of metastatic HER2-positive breast cancer, and below we review some of the more interesting efforts unveiled in San Antonio that attempt to provide needed answers:

1. More from the CLEOPATRA trial: Overall survival benefit; biomarker analysis; effects in older patients

With 154 deaths in the control group and 113 in the T + P + docetaxel arm, the study has now allowed crossover to P. In terms of biomarkers, according to Dr Baselga, who presented these data in San Antonio, perhaps the key factor moving forward will be the identification of PI3-kinase mutations in approximately 25% of HER2-positive tumors and the potential use of PI3-kinase alpha inhibitors, which are currently being evaluated. Finally, although only 126 patients in CLEOPATRA were older than age 65, the benefit they derived from treatment was similar to what was seen with younger patients.

2. Choice of chemotherapy to combine with T + P

Referred to earlier, a San Antonio report from Memorial demonstrated a 76% 6-month PFS rate in 33 evaluable patients receiving T + P + weekly paclitaxel. No unexpected toxicities were encountered, and this work provides additional strength to the conclusion everyone, including the NCCN, had already reached, namely that paclitaxel is a reasonable agent to combine with T and P. To obtain more real-world perspectives on this issue, an international single-arm study (PERUSE) is now evaluating T + P with 3 different taxanes (paclitaxel, nab paclitaxel and docetaxel).
3. Pooled safety analysis of single-agent T-DM1

These data from 882 patients on 6 clinical trials (including EMILIA) revealed few clinically apparent toxicities but did document transient laboratory abnormalities, such as thrombocytopenia and abnormal liver function tests, in a quarter or more of patients. Overall, treatment discontinuation due to toxicity was observed in only 55 patients (6.2%).

4. Eribulin combined with T

Indefinite anti-HER2 treatment is now a standard part of care for patients with HER2-positive metastatic disease, and as new chemotherapy agents are developed, studies are needed to document whether these are safe and efficacious partners for T. This report of 40 patients demonstrated what most observers expected — efficacy similar to other chemotherapy/T combinations (55% CR + PR) and acceptable tolerability comparable to what has been reported with eribulin alone.

For the next issue of this series we review the many San Antonio papers on genomic markers, including yet another analysis with the 21-gene recurrence score in tumor specimens from a prior randomized adjuvant trial, in this case NSABP-B-28, which evaluated the addition of paclitaxel to AC.

Neil Love, MD
Research To Practice
Miami, Florida
Confirmatory Overall Survival Analysis of CLEOPATRA
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Confirmatory Overall Survival (OS) Analysis of CLEOPATRA: A Randomized, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled Phase III Study with Pertuzumab, Trastuzumab, and Docetaxel in Patients (pts) with HER2-Positive First-Line (1L) Metastatic Breast Cancer (MBC)

Swain SM et al.
**CLEOPATRA Study Design**

Centrally confirmed HER2-positive locally recurrent, unresectable or metastatic BC (mBC)

≤1 hormonal regimen for mBC

Prior (neo)adjuvant systemic Rx including trastuzumab allowed if followed by DFS ≥12 mo

Baseline LVEF ≥50%; no CHF or LVEF <50% during or after prior trastuzumab

N = 406

Docetaxel (D) (≥6 cycles recommended)

Trastuzumab (T)

Placebo (Pla)

R 1:1

N = 402

Docetaxel (≥6 cycles recommended)

Trastuzumab

Pertuzumab (Ptz)

**Primary endpoint:** Independently assessed progression-free survival (PFS)


---

**CLEOPATRA: Primary Efficacy Analysis**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Independently assessed</th>
<th>Ptz + T + D (n = 402)</th>
<th>Pla + T + D (n = 406)</th>
<th>Hazard ratio</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Median PFS</td>
<td>18.5 mo</td>
<td>12.4 mo</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deaths in interim overall survival analysis, n (%)*</td>
<td>69 (17.2%)</td>
<td>96 (23.6%)</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Not significant because analysis did not meet O’Brien-Fleming stopping boundary; a strong trend was evident toward overall survival benefit with pertuzumab

CLEOPATRA: Overall Survival (Confirmatory Analysis)

- Crossed O'Brien-Fleming stopping boundary and was therefore deemed statistically significant.
- Analysis was performed after 267 deaths and 69% of the prespecified total number of events for the final analysis had occurred.
- Median follow-up in both arms = 30 months


---

CLEOPATRA: Overall Survival in Predefined Subgroups

CLEOPATRA: Follow-Up Analysis — Updated Investigator-Assessed PFS

- At the time of the data cutoff, 296 (72.9%) patients on the placebo arm and 257 (63.9%) on the pertuzumab arm had experienced a PFS event. These results were exploratory only.
- This updated analysis of investigator-assessed PFS was consistent with the results from the primary PFS analyses.


CLEOPATRA: Select All-Grade Adverse Events (AEs)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AEs with ≥25% incidence or ≥5% difference between arms</th>
<th>Ptz + T + D (n = 408)</th>
<th>Pla + T + D (n = 396)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diarrhea</td>
<td>68.1%</td>
<td>48.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rash</td>
<td>36.5%</td>
<td>24.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mucosal inflammation</td>
<td>27.5%</td>
<td>19.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peripheral edema</td>
<td>24.8%</td>
<td>30.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pruritus</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
<td>10.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constipation</td>
<td>15.4%</td>
<td>25.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Febrile neutropenia</td>
<td>13.7%</td>
<td>7.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry skin</td>
<td>10.8%</td>
<td>5.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ptz + T + D did not increase the incidence of cardiac adverse events compared to Pla + T + D.

CLEOPATRA: Grade ≥3 Adverse Events

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade ≥3 adverse events (incidence ≥5%)</th>
<th>Ptz + T + D (n = 408)</th>
<th>Pla + T + D (n = 396)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Neutropenia</td>
<td>49.0%</td>
<td>46.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Febrile neutropenia</td>
<td>13.7%</td>
<td>7.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leukopenia</td>
<td>12.3%</td>
<td>14.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diarrhea</td>
<td>9.1%</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mucosal inflammation (Grade ≥3) was reported in 1% of patients in the placebo arm and 1.5% of patients in the pertuzumab arm.


Author Conclusions

- At the second interim analysis, CLEOPATRA demonstrated a statistically significant and clinically meaningful improvement in OS with the addition of pertuzumab to trastuzumab/docetaxel as first-line therapy for HER2-positive mBC.
  - As a consequence of the statistically significant survival benefit, patients who were still receiving study treatment on the placebo arm were offered crossover to the pertuzumab arm.
  - The final exploratory analysis of OS is event driven and will take place when 385 events have been reached.
- No new safety signals compared to the primary analysis were reported with 1 more year of follow-up.
- These results indicate that combined HER2 blockade and chemotherapy with pertuzumab/trastuzumab/docetaxel can be considered a standard first-line therapy for patients with HER2-positive mBC.

Investigator Commentary: Confirmatory OS Analysis of CLEOPATRA — Pertuzumab with Trastuzumab and Docetaxel as First-Line Therapy for HER2-Positive mBC

Changes in the first-line setting for patients with mBC have been coming along rapidly. The primary analysis of CLEOPATRA reported a significant improvement in PFS, and, as expected, this current analysis reported an improvement in OS. The authors confirmed little increase in toxicity compared to dual taxane/trastuzumab therapy. This report solidifies that the appropriate strategy is to administer a taxane in combination with trastuzumab and pertuzumab in the first-line setting.

Recent data have shown similar efficacy with docetaxel/trastuzumab and vinorelbine/trastuzumab but lower toxicity with the vinorelbine versus the docetaxel combination. The results of CLEOPATRA have given us impetus for an ongoing trial called VELVET that I have the honor of leading. This Phase II trial is for patients who are eligible to receive first-line therapy for HER2-positive mBC. In the first cohort, which we have already enrolled, patients will receive pertuzumab and trastuzumab sequentially. The second cohort will receive pertuzumab and trastuzumab together. Vinorelbine will be given to both cohorts.

Interview with Edith A Perez, MD, January 17, 2013