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On this final issue of our review of select key papers presented at the American Society of Hematology annual meeting, we focus on a handful of fascinating early clinical reports on CART and a smattering of what’s new in AML.

**CART clinical trial data**

Although we heard about the seemingly miraculous effects of this novel therapeutic approach in 2012 in Atlanta, New Orleans was the true coming out party for CART-based approaches, specifically those targeting CD19. Led by the powerhouse team at the University of Pennsylvania, which includes Dr David Porter, we were treated to numerous fascinating presentations that have generated great excitement and enthusiasm.

Since ASH I have been fortunate enough to interview Dr Porter on 2 occasions (click for audio), and it is impossible to hear about this dramatic story without getting goose bumps.

The concept behind Penn’s CART-based approach is intriguing. Patients undergo leukapheresis, after which their T-cells are transduced with a lentivirus encoding an anti-CD19 single-chain variable fragment linked to 4-1BB and CD3-ζ signaling domains. The genetically modified cells are then expanded ex vivo, and soon after a course of lymphocyte-depleting chemotherapy they are then reinfused into the patient where, as documented previously and in new reports at ASH, they expand (up to 10,000-fold), persist functionally (beyond 3 years) and exert a direct antitumor effect.

Early efforts by the group have focused on very advanced chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL) and B-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL), and although they hoped to see some discernible benefit in early testing, as related by Dr Porter, the initial clinical responses were stunning in rapidity and depth. Much of this work was updated and expanded on in New Orleans. Dr Stephan Grupp presented longer follow-up outcomes from 17 adults and children with relapsed/refractory ALL revealing that 82% achieved a complete response (CR). Similarly, Dr Porter provided an update from their Phase I study in which 8 of 14 patients with extensively pretreated CLL had objective responses, including 4 patients with CRs, none of whom have yet experienced
relapse. He also unveiled data from their dose-finding randomized Phase II study demonstrating that 7 of 18 patients responded, including 3 CRs, with no correlation between dose and outcome or toxicity.

Perhaps the highlight of these presentations, at least in my mind, was an impressive set of scans that Dr Porter displayed from a patient with del(17p) CLL treated on their original pilot study. Amazingly, 3 months after receiving the CART infusion this individual, whose disease had progressed through 10 prior therapies, including ibrutinib and radiation therapy, was pretty much disease free in peripheral blood and bone marrow.

In discussing this work with Dr Porter, I was eager to learn more about the profound and rapid tumor lysis/cytokine release syndrome (CRS) that has been described with this therapy. I was wide-eyed as he detailed the team’s early experiences with this scary complication that generally occurred within the first few weeks of treatment at the peak of initial T-cell expansion and initially led to life-threatening multiorgan failure. What was perhaps most compelling was that the team was able to quickly determine that the key cytokine causing this syndrome was IL-6, and they were able to successfully intervene with a novel IL-6 receptor antibody approved for rheumatoid arthritis (tocilizumab).

Penn is not alone at the forefront of this research, and at ASH the Memorial group also reported activity with a slightly different anti-CD19 CAR platform across several diseases. In high-risk CLL, Dr Jae Park presented preliminary data from a Phase I study evaluating this approach as consolidation after up-front rituximab-chemotherapy. While the data set was quite small — 8 patients with 2 CRs and 2 partial responses — it provides an important proof of principal that ultimately may allow some patients with CLL to receive a short-term treatment that will lead to prolonged tumor control. Relevantly, the study results suggest greater benefit in patients with lower tumor burden, and Dr Porter believes that an important future strategy will be initial cytoreduction, particularly with novel B-cell inhibitors like ibrutinib, followed by an attempt at cure with CART.

Memorial’s Dr Marco Davila also reported on CAR therapy as a bridge to allotransplant in patients with B-cell ALL, including those with Philadelphia chromosome-positive disease. Importantly, responses were rapid, occurring as early as 7 to 14 days, CRS was manageable and 10 of 12 patients with detectable disease before CAR therapy became minimal residual disease-negative. Four patients went on to allotransplant with 5 more being prepped for it.

The NCI, too, is very involved in this field, and at ASH they provided us with more data on the use of this technology in ALL as well as our first look at it in B-cell lymphomas, where 5 of 8 patients with chemotherapy-refractory diffuse large B-cell lymphoma or primary mediastinal B-cell lymphoma experienced objective tumor responses.

The next step for this fascinating and innovative treatment strategy is to not only obtain more data but also to investigate the feasibility and reproducibility of doing this on a larger-scale basis.
AML: Life beyond 3 plus 7

The dismal current landscape of this important cause of mortality is reflected by the fact that the only compound approved for this disease in the last 20 years, gemtuzumab ozogamicin (GO), was removed from the market by the FDA 4 years ago due to toxicity concerns.

As such, for far too long conversations about AML management have revolved around optimal induction and consolidation chemotherapy doses and schedules and the role for various transplant strategies. However, the rapidly emerging translational and related clinical science that permeates ongoing AML research has many optimistic that brighter days are ahead (click for summary slides of studies discussed below).

One of the more talked about areas is management of the 30% of patients with FMS-like tyrosine kinase 3 (FLT3) mutations, and in the Big Easy Dr Jorge Cortes presented a provocative study on the effects of the as yet unapproved FLT3 inhibitor quizartinib. One of the proposed benefits of this agent is that it is more specific for the target than tyrosine kinase inhibitors such as sorafenib, which is sometimes employed off label when no other alternatives exist. In this Phase II study using lower doses of quizartinib in 76 patients, a 47% CR rate with acceptable toxicities was reported. All eyes are on the ongoing Phase III study evaluating this compound in hopes that it may end up as a useful tool in practice.

The MD Anderson group also reported on SGI-110 — a novel molecule that combines decitabine with guanosine to produce a longer half-life and potentially higher areas under the curve than decitabine. Importantly, in this Phase II study this subcutaneously administered hypomethylating agent resulted in an encouraging preliminary objective response rate of 53% in elderly patients with treatment-naïve AML. Dr Hagop Kantarjian and his group at MD Anderson are interested in doing a study comparing this agent to conventional decitabine or azacitidine.

Because activating KIT mutations are present in 25% to 30% of patients with core binding factor (CBF) AML, it has been hypothesized that KIT inhibition might provide therapeutic benefit. In this regard, the CALGB reported on a single-arm trial evaluating the addition of dasatinib to induction chemotherapy in patients with molecular confirmation of CBF AML. Reported at ASH, the trial resulted in an encouraging CR rate of 92% in 59 evaluable patients, but many are reserving judgment about this approach until further follow-up is available.

Given its activity in a multitude of hematologic cancers, it should probably come as no surprise that lenalidomide is also being evaluated in AML. Significantly, preliminary results look encouraging with a CR rate of 43% in 37 elderly patients over age 70 with low-dose lenalidomide added to low-dose Ara-C (LDAC). Perhaps even more importantly, a 5-gene molecular signature has been identified that appears highly predictive of treatment response with 87% overall accuracy.

Additionally, although the previously mentioned anti-CD33 antibody-drug conjugate GO is no longer available, at ASH we saw data reinforcing its evidence-based benefit most
specifically for patients with CBF AML. Described in a meta-analysis, a pediatric study and a longitudinal analysis of trials of the UK MRC/NCRI group, these results all point to a modest advantage with limited toxicity. Whether GO will make it back into the clinic, however, remains unclear.

Finally, in terms of the FDA and AML, one of the more interesting and positive recent developments from the agency has been the 2012 implementation of the “Breakthrough Therapy” designation pathway, which fast-tracks promising agents in diseases with important unmet needs. Over the past year on our CME programs we have discussed many exciting oncology compounds that have earned this designation, and just 2 weeks ago we saw this novel pathway in action as the second-generation ALK inhibitor ceritinib was granted accelerated approval in ALK-positive non-small cell lung cancer based on the results of a single-arm, open-label clinical trial enrolling 163 patients.

Last fall, the selective and potent polo-like kinase (PLK) inhibitor volasertib became the only “AML drug” to join this short “Breakthrough” list. Volasertib inhibits PLK1 — the best characterized of the 5 known human PLKs and a critical enzyme regulating mitosis — resulting in cell cycle arrest and ultimately cell death (apoptosis). At ASH 2012 a randomized Phase I/II trial reported an impressive response rate advantage when the agent was added to LDAC in patients not eligible for intensive induction. These encouraging results led to the ongoing Phase III POLO-AML-2 trial with a similar randomization in patients age 65 or older with previously untreated AML not eligible for intensive induction. Although the future of this interesting agent is unclear, it seems plausible that in the next few years AML will join the other myeloid cancers in seeing the documented benefit of important new and clinically useful treatment strategies based on the evolution of understanding the underlying disease biology.

This concludes our ASH review series. If you’re heading to Chicago this month, join us at the end of each day for a series of evening symposia as we review what’s happening in lung cancer, gastrointestinal cancers, non-Hodgkin lymphoma/multiple myeloma and HER2-positive breast cancer.

Neil Love, MD
Research To Practice
Miami, Florida
Treatment of Chemotherapy-Refractory DLBCL with Anti-CD19 CAR T Cells

Presentation discussed in this issue

Slides from a presentation at ASH 2013 and transcribed comments from a recent interview with David L Porter, MD (3/3/14)

Effective Treatment of Chemotherapy-Refractory Diffuse Large B-Cell Lymphoma with Autologous T Cells Genetically-Engineered to Express an Anti-CD19 Chimeric Antigen Receptor

**Background**

- Chimeric antigen receptors (CARs) are fusion proteins incorporating an antigen recognition moiety and T-cell activation domains.
- T cells can be genetically modified to express CARs and transferred to patients.
- Previous reports of the first 9 patients on a clinical trial who received CAR T-cell treatment showed that this is a promising new approach for treating B-cell cancers because of a potent ability to eradicate CD19-positive cells in vivo (Blood 2010;116:4099; Blood 2012;119:2709).

**Study objective:** To report results from 14 patients on this clinical trial who received anti-CD19 CAR T cells with a new 10-day culture process.


**Study Methods**

- The CAR used in this study was encoded by a gammaretrovirus and incorporates the variable regions of an anti-CD19 antibody, part of CD28 and part of CD3-zeta.
- A total of 14 patients received anti-CD19 CAR T cells that were produced with a new 10-day culture process.
  - Eight evaluable patients with primary mediastinal B-cell lymphoma or diffuse large B-cell lymphoma not otherwise specified had chemotherapy-refractory disease defined as progression or no response 1 month after the end of the most recent chemotherapy.
  - No patients received exogenous interleukin-2.

Kochenderfer JN et al. *Proc ASH* 2013;Abstract 168 (abstract only).
Study Methods (Continued)

- A mean of 70.5% of the infused T cells expressed the CAR, and the infused cells produced cytokines and degranulated in a CD19-specific manner.

- Because prior chemotherapy has been shown to enhance the activity of adoptively transferred T cells, patients received:
  - Cyclophosphamide (different doses) in combination with fludarabine (25 mg/m² daily for 5 days) before a single infusion of anti-CD19 CAR-transduced T cells.

Patient Characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pt</th>
<th>Age (years)/gender</th>
<th>Cancer</th>
<th>No. of prior therapies</th>
<th>Total cyclo dose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>56/M</td>
<td>SMZL</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>120 mg/kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>43/F</td>
<td>PMBCL</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>60 mg/kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>61/M</td>
<td>CLL</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>60 mg/kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>30/F</td>
<td>PMBCL</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>120 mg/kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>63/M</td>
<td>CLL</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>120 mg/kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>48/M</td>
<td>CLL</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>60 mg/kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>42/M</td>
<td>DLBCL</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>60 mg/kg</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

cyclo = cyclophosphamide; SMZL = splenic marginal zone lymphoma; PMBCL = primary mediastinal B-cell lymphoma; CLL = chronic lymphocytic leukemia; DLBCL = diffuse large B-cell lymphoma not otherwise specified
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### Patient Characteristics (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pt</th>
<th>Age (years)/gender</th>
<th>Cancer</th>
<th>No. of prior therapies</th>
<th>Total cyclo dose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>44/F</td>
<td>PMBCL</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>60 mg/kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>38/M</td>
<td>PMBCL</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>120 mg/kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>57/F</td>
<td>Low-grade NHL</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>60 mg/kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>58/F</td>
<td>DLBCL from CLL</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>60 mg/kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>60/F</td>
<td>DLBCL</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>60 mg/kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>68/M</td>
<td>CLL</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>60 mg/kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>43/M</td>
<td>DLBCL</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>60 mg/kg</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NHL = non-Hodgkin lymphoma

Kochenderfer JN et al. *Proc ASH* 2013;Abstract 168 (abstract only).

### Responses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pt</th>
<th>No. of CAR T cells infused (x 10^6/kg)</th>
<th>Response type</th>
<th>Time to response after infusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>PR</td>
<td>20+ months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>CR</td>
<td>19+ months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>CR</td>
<td>16+ months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>NE</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>CR</td>
<td>10+ months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>CR</td>
<td>7+ months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>CR</td>
<td>4+ months</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PR = partial remission; CR = complete remission; NE = not evaluable; + indicates ongoing response
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### Responses (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pt</th>
<th>No. of CAR T cells infused (x $10^6$/kg)</th>
<th>Response type</th>
<th>Time to response after infusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>PR</td>
<td>6+ months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>1 month</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>PR</td>
<td>4+ months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>PR</td>
<td>2 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>1+ month</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>PR</td>
<td>2+ months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>PR</td>
<td>1+ month</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SD = stable disease
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---

### Clinical Outcomes

- All of the 8 treated patients with either PMBCL or DLBCL were chemotherapy refractory.
  - Five of these 8 patients obtained either a CR or PR on this trial.
- Blood B-cell depletion lasting for more than 3 months occurred in 3 of 3 evaluable patients.
- Most patients were not evaluable for B-cell depletion due to B-cell depletion by prior treatments.
- One patient died suddenly of unknown etiology 16 days after infusion of CAR T cells.
- Peak blood levels of cells containing the CAR gene ranged from 2.3% to 66.5% of blood mononuclear cells.

Kochenderfer JN et al. *Proc ASH* 2013;Abstract 168 (abstract only).
Adverse Events

- The acute toxic effects observed included:
  - Fever, hypotension and delirium, which occurred after the infusion of anti-CD19 CAR T cells.
- The toxicities resolved in less than 3 weeks after the cell infusion and were temporally associated with elevated serum interleukin-6 and interferon gamma levels in most patients.

Kochenderfer JN et al. *Proc ASH* 2013;Abstract 168 (abstract only).

Author Conclusions

- These results demonstrate the feasibility of treating patients with chemotherapy-refractory B-cell cancers with autologous anti-CD19 CAR T cells.
- The numerous remissions obtained should encourage further development of this treatment approach.

Kochenderfer JN et al. *Proc ASH* 2013;Abstract 168 (abstract only).
Investigator Commentary: Treatment of Chemotherapy-Refractory CD19-Positive DLBCL with CAR T Cells

This study expands on the use of CAR T cells and includes patients with CD19-positive cancers such as DLBCL and PMBCL. The investigators use a technique of retroviral transduction and CD28 costimulation instead of lentiviral transduction with a CAR composed of 4-1BB. This is important because it shows that activity is possible not only in acute lymphocytic leukemia (ALL) and CLL but also in more nodal CD19-positive diseases, including NHL.

Out of 8 patients with PMBCL or DLBCL, 5 achieved a CR or a PR. Unlike PRs observed with chemotherapy, many of the partial responses achieved with CAR T cells are significant and ongoing. These responses can continue over time as long as the T cells have survived and remain active. So it’s like having continuous exposure to treatment, which makes the achievement of a PR important. A couple of patients achieved a CR, and this is a significant observation.

The toxicities observed were similar to what other investigators have reported in ALL and CLL. In this study, a significant expansion of CAR T cells similar to that seen in other trials was observed. It will be important to be able to use this treatment approach in NHL. Of note, this is currently being studied by this group and others.

*Interview with David L Porter, MD, March 3, 2014*