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Does the JAK1/2 inhibitor ruxolitinib benefit patients with myelofibrosis without JAK2 mutations?...and more

To go directly to slides and commentary for this issue, click here.

Medical oncologists love to see clinical trial results that are so impressive that the effectiveness of a therapy can be immediately understood simply by viewing a single graphic. Because of this, the relatively recent innovation of waterfall plots — especially those in which the majority of the bars point down — has increasingly been used to provide poignant and memorable snapshots of antitumor efficacy.

In this regard, a unique series of waterfall plots presented at the June 2011 ASCO meeting caused an immediate stir and are still being talked about today. These startling graphics did not plot tumor size but disease-related symptoms and spleen size in the seminal Phase III COMFORT-I and II trials evaluating the JAK1/2 small molecule inhibitor ruxolitinib (Rux) in patients with myelofibrosis (MF). In addition to the rapid and profound impact in the treated group, another fascinating aspect of the waterfall plots was what was going on in the control patients, and there the bars were moving north fast, suggesting that these trials were intervening in patients who were very ill and getting worse quickly. These data paved the way for the almost immediate FDA approval of this agent for patients with intermediate-2 and high-risk MF.

In Atlanta we saw an additional year of follow-up from these studies, including my favorite graphic from the entire ASH meeting (see below), showing side by side the survival benefit seen in COMFORT-I for patients with and without JAK2 mutations.

There is considerable debate about the mechanisms that produce the often-profound symptomatic benefit with Rux, and some have postulated it’s related to the suppression of release of cytokines implicated in the pathogenesis of MF clinical progression. Regardless, the clear practical message is that this is not BRAF-positive melanoma or EML4-ALK-positive non-small cell lung cancer and symptomatic patients with MF should be considered for therapy with Rux regardless of JAK2 mutation status.
In this issue of our post-ASH series we review the COMFORT updates and two related MF papers along with data sets in acute myelogenous leukemia (AML), where several intriguing agents are showing promising results.

1. **COMFORT trial updates**

Perhaps the MF investigators chose the COMFORT trial acronym to symbolize the profound palliative effect of Rux that had been observed even in early Phase I-II studies. The initial published Phase III data were derived with a median of about a year of follow-up, and now that another year has passed the results keep getting better. Perhaps the most important and “comforting” message is that most patients have maintained responses and are continuing to enjoy significant symptom palliation. In addition, an important effect on overall survival has been demonstrated in both trials in spite of the fact that crossover after progression on the control arm was allowed and occurred in more than two thirds of patients. The two ASH COMFORT updates are loaded with practical clinical information, which is summarized in the attached slide set, and it’s clear that this disease has entered a new era.

2. **Use of Rux in patients with platelet counts (PC) of 50,000-100,000**

MF can be associated with decreased PC through a variety of mechanisms, and Rux itself causes reversible declines in PC and hemoglobin levels, although these are rarely treatment limiting. The COMFORT trials required a minimum PC of 100,000, but the lack of an effective palliative alternative pushed investigators to determine if this agent could be safely and beneficially used in patients falling below this threshold. Two separate ASH papers evaluated a cautious stepwise approach in patients with PC between 50,000 and 100,000. In the North American trial led by Dr Moshe Talpaz patients started at 5 mg BID and escalated up, usually targeting a 10-mg BID dose. Interestingly, although patients in the COMFORT trials received 15 or 20 mg BID, those treated in these two new studies at reduced doses seemed to experience similar treatment benefit.

Unfortunately, there are currently no data to guide management of patients with PC under 50,000, and as such this situation probably warrants consideration of referral to a tertiary center, where many studies are being conducted with a plethora of promising agents in MF.

3. **AML update: Homoharringtonine (HHT, otherwise known as omacetaxine)**

On one of our upcoming audio programs Dr Hagop Kantarjian spins a fascinating tale of herbal drug development in China under Mao Zedong, and one of the positive outcomes (in addition to ATRA and arsenic) was this plant alkaloid that has significant activity in AML (and was recently approved in CML). In a prominent ASH report of a Phase III AML trial done in China, cytarabine (C) and an anthracycline (A) combined with HHT
resulted in more CRs and better survival but also more deaths during induction than CA alone. These findings have led to both optimism and caution as this fascinating agent is further developed.

4. **More on AML: Quizartinib, a potent FLT3 receptor inhibitor**

FMS-like tyrosine kinase 3 (FLT3) internal tandem duplications can be found in up to a third of patients with AML and are associated with high blast counts, increased rates of relapse and reduced survival. In an effort to potentially exploit this target, quizartinib was evaluated in a Phase II trial with 2 cohorts reported separately at ASH and revealed impressive responses in patients both without and, more commonly, with FLT3 mutations, and the drug, which was well tolerated other than prolonged QT intervals, stabilized a number of patients, enabling transplant. Enthusiasm from these findings has led to Phase III trials in FLT3-mutant AML, including efforts to combine quizartinib with the classic 3 + 7 regimen up front.

Next on this ASH highlights series we consider Hodgkin and T-cell lymphoma, where more good news on the CD30 antibody-drug conjugate brentuximab vedotin was unveiled in Atlanta.

Neil Love, MD
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Miami, Florida
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Cervantes F et al. Long-term safety, efficacy, and survival findings from Comfort-II, a Phase 3 study comparing ruxolitinib with best available therapy (BAT) for the treatment of myelofibrosis (MF). Proc ASH 2012; Abstract 801.

Slides from presentations at ASH 2012 and transcribed comments from a recent interview with Moshe Talpaz, MD (2/19/13)
Long-Term Outcome of Ruxolitinib Treatment in Patients with Myelofibrosis: Durable Reductions in Spleen Volume, Improvements in Quality of Life, and Overall Survival Advantage in COMFORT-I

Verstovsek S et al.
Proc ASH 2012;Abstract 800.

Background

- Ruxolitinib is a potent JAK1/JAK2 inhibitor that has shown superiority over placebo or conventional therapies for the treatment of myelofibrosis (MF).


- **Study objective:** To describe the long-term efficacy and safety of ruxolitinib on the COMFORT-I study with 1 year of additional follow-up beyond previously published data (median follow-up ~24 months).

Verstovsek S et al. Proc ASH 2012;Abstract 800.
**COMFORT-I: Updated Overall Survival Analysis — ITT Population**

\[ \text{HR} = 0.58 \text{ (95\% CI: 0.36, 0.95); P = 0.028} \]

No. of deaths: Ruxolitinib = 27; Placebo = 41
Median follow up: 102 weeks

Age adjusted HR = 0.61 (95\% CI: 0.37, 0.99); \( p = 0.040 \)

With permission from Verstovsek S et al. *Proc ASH 2012; Abstract 800.*

---

**COMFORT-I: Updated Overall Survival Analysis by JAK2V617F Mutation Status**

**JAK2V617F-Positive**

\[ \text{HR} = 0.54 \text{ (95\% CI: 0.30, 0.98)} \]

**JAK2V617F-Negative**

\[ \text{HR} = 0.65 \text{ (95\% CI: 0.26, 1.63)} \]

With permission from Verstovsek S et al. *Proc ASH 2012; Abstract 800.*
**COMFORT-I: Incidence of New-Onset Grade 3 or 4 Anemia and Thrombocytopenia Over Time**

- All patients receiving placebo at the primary analysis crossed over or discontinued within 3 months of the primary analysis; therefore, data for patients receiving placebo is shown for 0–<6 months only.

With permission from Verstovsek S et al. *Proc ASH 2012; Abstract 800.*

---

**COMFORT-I: Spleen Volume Reduction**

- The majority of ruxolitinib-treated patients maintained a spleen volume reduction.
- The majority of crossover patients experienced spleen volume reduction relative to baseline.

With permission from Verstovsek S et al. *Proc ASH 2012; Abstract 800.*
**COMFORT-I: Durability of Spleen Volume Reduction**

- 90/155 (58%) had a 35% reduction at any time point during the study
- 64% maintained a ≥35% reduction for at least 2 years

≥35% reduction: Time from first 35% reduction to <35% reduction and 25% increase from nadir.
≥10% reduction: Time from first 35% reduction to <10% reduction from baseline.

With permission from Verstovsek S et al. *Proc ASH 2012;Abstract 800.*

---

**COMFORT-I: Updated QoL Over Time (Assessed by EORTC QLQ-C30)**

- Global Health Status/QoL
- Fatigue
- Role Functioning
- Physical Functioning

Arrows indicate improvement.

With permission from Verstovsek S et al. *Proc ASH 2012;Abstract 800.*
Author Conclusions

- Ruxolitinib continues to be associated with a survival advantage relative to placebo in the COMFORT-I study.
- Reductions in spleen volume and improvements in symptoms and QoL were sustained.
- Incidence of new-onset Grade 3 or 4 anemia and thrombocytopenia decreased with longer-term therapy:
  - Proportion of patients receiving RBC transfusions decreased over time to rates similar to placebo (data not shown).
- After initiating ruxolitinib at 15 or 20 mg BID, patients titrated to a mean dose of ~10 to 15 mg BID with longer-term treatment (data not shown).
- These data reinforce the durable efficacy and longer-term safety of ruxolitinib in patients with myelofibrosis regardless of JAK2V617F mutation status.

Verstovsek S et al. Proc ASH 2012;Abstract 800.

---

Long-Term Safety, Efficacy, and Survival Findings from COMFORT-II, a Phase 3 Study Comparing Ruxolitinib with Best Available Therapy (BAT) for the Treatment of Myelofibrosis (MF)

Cervantes F et al.
Proc ASH 2012;Abstract 801.
Background

- The primary and key secondary endpoints of the COMFORT-II trial were both met (N Engl J Med 2012;366:787).
  - The proportion of patients achieving a response (defined as a ≥35% reduction in spleen volume) at week 24 was 32% and 0% ($p < 0.001$) with ruxolitinib and best available therapy (BAT), respectively.
  - The proportion of patients achieving a response at 48 weeks was 28% and 0% ($p < 0.001$), respectively.

- **Study objective:** To update the efficacy and safety findings of COMFORT-II with longer follow-up (median 28 months; cutoff March 1, 2012)

Cervantes F et al. Proc ASH 2012;Abstract 801.

---

**COMFORT-II: Updated Overall Survival Analysis**

![Graph showing survival analysis](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Ruxolitinib</th>
<th>BAT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. of Patients</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Events</td>
<td>20 (13.7%)</td>
<td>16 (21.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Censored</td>
<td>126 (86.3%)</td>
<td>57 (78.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR</td>
<td>0.51; $p = 0.041$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* $p$ value for log-rank test is provided for descriptive purposes and was not adjusted for multiple comparisons.

With permission from Cervantes F et al. Proc ASH 2012;Abstract 801.
COMFORT-II: Summary of Overall Survival Analysis

- Median survival time not yet reached for both arms:
  - OS is an exploratory endpoint in this trial. At this cutoff, there are <30% events in both arms
- Many early censored observations:
  - Lost to follow-up: BAT, 27% vs ruxolitinib, 14%
  - Survival follow-up was initially not collected (addressed later by an amendment)
  - Efforts to collect survival information ongoing
- Despite the above limitations and the switch of a majority of BAT patients to ruxolitinib, there is an apparent survival benefit favoring ruxolitinib in an ITT analysis:
  - HR = 0.51 (95% CI, 0.26-0.99), p = 0.041

Cervantes F et al. Proc ASH 2012;Abstract 801.

COMFORT-II: Updated Percent Change in Spleen Volume

- BAT patients who crossed over to ruxolitinib had reductions in spleen volume after crossover.

With permission from Cervantes F et al. Proc ASH 2012;Abstract 801.
COMFORT-II: Duration of Spleen Response

Loss of response: No longer a ≥35% reduction that is also a >25% increase over nadir

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ruxolitinib</th>
<th>BAT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. of Patients</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Events</td>
<td>18 (25.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Censored</td>
<td>52 (74.3%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Patients have a 58% probability of maintaining their response for 84 weeks.
- The median duration of spleen response has not yet been reached.

With permission from Cervantes F et al. Proc ASH 2012;Abstract 801.

Author Conclusions

- Ruxolitinib provided rapid and durable reductions in splenomegaly that were sustained over 2 years of treatment in the majority of patients on the COMFORT-II trial.

- Ruxolitinib was well tolerated, with the majority of patients remaining on study after more than 2 years of therapy (data not shown):
  - No adverse events after ruxolitinib discontinuation were observed with longer follow-up.

- Longer follow-up suggests a relative reduction in the risk of death with ruxolitinib compared to BAT:
  - This finding is consistent with the survival advantage observed in COMFORT-I (Proc ASH 2012;Abstract 800) and with the comparison of patients in a Phase I/II study with matched historical controls (Blood 2012;120(6):1202).

Cervantes F et al. Proc ASH 2012;Abstract 801.
Investigator Commentary: COMFORT-I and II — Updated Phase III Trial Results with the JAK1/JAK2 Inhibitor Ruxolitinib for MF

One of the most important findings of the updated results of the COMFORT-I study is the sustained splenic response, especially among patients who experienced ≥35% reduction in spleen volume. Even though some regression of response was observed, the durability of spleen volume reduction of ≥10% was maintained and ongoing beyond 2 years in the majority of the patients. Stable and ongoing improvements in symptoms are also impressive, and the modest survival advantage is confirmed in patients who received ruxolitinib compared to placebo.

The updated results of the COMFORT-II study of ruxolitinib versus best available therapy demonstrated survival benefits that should also be interpreted with caution. Spleen volume reductions were sustained, particularly in the group of patients with the deepest response. The development of anemia remains an issue with JAK2 inhibitors. However, at a ruxolitinib dose of 10 mg BID, it was limited to a few months and then tended to return to baseline levels. It is important to emphasize the lack of impact of JAK2 mutations. Responses and survival benefits were seen equally among patients with or without JAK2 mutations.

*Interview with Moshe Talpaz, MD, February 19, 2013*