

POST-ASH Issue 2, 2013

# Lenalidomide and Rituximab for Untreated Indolent Lymphoma: Final Results of a Phase II Study

### **CME INFORMATION**

### **OVERVIEW OF ACTIVITY**

The annual American Society of Hematology (ASH) meeting is unmatched in its importance with regard to advancements in hematologic cancer and related disorders. It is targeted by many members of the clinical research community as the optimal forum in which to unveil new clinical data. This creates an environment each year in which published results and new information lead to the emergence of many new therapeutic agents and changes in the indications for existing treatments across virtually all malignant and benign hematologic disorders. As online access to posters and plenary presentations is not currently available, a need exists for additional resources to distill the information presented at the ASH annual meeting for those clinicians unable to attend but desiring to remain up to date on the new data released there. To bridge the gap between research and patient care, this CME activity will deliver a serial review of the most important emerging data sets from the latest ASH meeting, including expert perspectives on how these new evidence-based concepts can be applied to routine clinical care. This activity will assist medical oncologists, hematologists and hematology-oncology fellows in the formulation of optimal clinical management strategies and the timely application of new research findings to best-practice patient care.

### **LEARNING OBJECTIVES**

- Appraise recent clinical research findings with lenalidomide/rituximab for patients with untreated indolent lymphoma and, where
  appropriate, counsel patients regarding participation in ongoing pivotal trials assessing this strategy.
- Evaluate the early efficacy and safety data with the anti-PD-1 monoclonal antibody pidilizumab (CT-011) for patients with relapsed/refractory FL.
- Assess the benefits and risks of novel therapeutic approaches PI3 kinase inhibitors, Btk inhibitors and chimeric antigen receptor T cells under investigation in B-cell neoplasms and, where appropriate, facilitate patient access to ongoing trials of these agents.
- Optimize outcomes for elderly patients with CLL through the application of emerging clinical research data.

### **ACCREDITATION STATEMENT**

Research To Practice is accredited by the Accreditation Council for Continuing Medical Education to provide continuing medical education for physicians.

### **CREDIT DESIGNATION STATEMENT**

Research To Practice designates this enduring material for a maximum of 1.75 AMA PRA Category 1 Credits $^{\text{TM}}$ . Physicians should claim only the credit commensurate with the extent of their participation in the activity.

#### **HOW TO USE THIS CME ACTIVITY**

This CME activity contains slides and edited commentary. To receive credit, the participant should review the slide presentations, read the commentary, complete the Post-test with a score of 75% or better and fill out the Educational Assessment and Credit Form located at ResearchToPractice.com/5MJCASH2013/2/CME.

### **CONTENT VALIDATION AND DISCLOSURES**

Research To Practice (RTP) is committed to providing its participants with high-quality, unbiased and state-of-the-art education. We assess potential conflicts of interest with faculty, planners and managers of CME activities. Real or apparent conflicts of interest are identified and resolved through a conflict of interest resolution process. In addition, all activity content is reviewed by both a member of the RTP scientific staff and an external, independent physician reviewer for fair balance, scientific objectivity of studies referenced and patient care recommendations.

FACULTY — The following faculty (and their spouses/partners) reported real or apparent conflicts of interest, which have been resolved through a conflict of interest resolution process:

Bruce D Cheson, MD Professor of Medicine Deputy Chief, Division of Hematology-Oncology Head of Hematology Georgetown University Hospital Lombardi Comprehensive Cancer Center Washington, DC

Advisory Committee: Celgene Corporation, Cephalon Inc, Gilead Sciences Inc, Mundipharma International Limited, Onyx Pharmaceuticals Inc, Pharmacyclics Inc, Sanofi; Consulting Agreements: Celgene Corporation, Cephalon Inc, Genentech BioOncology, Mundipharma International Limited.

Brad S Kahl, MD Skoronski Chair of Lymphoma Research Associate Professor University of Wisconsin School of Medicine and Public Health Associate Director for Clinical Research UW Carbone Cancer Center Madison, Wisconsin

Advisory Committee: Celgene Corporation, Cephalon Inc, Genentech BioOncology, Millennium: The Takeda Oncology Company, Roche Laboratories Inc; Contracted Research: Abbott Laboratories, Cephalon Inc, Genentech BioOncology.

EDITOR — Dr Love is president and CEO of Research To Practice, which receives funds in the form of educational grants to develop CME activities from the following commercial interests: AbbVie Inc, Algeta US, Allos Therapeutics, Amgen Inc, ArQule Inc, Astellas, Aveo Pharmaceuticals, Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals, Biodesix Inc, Biogen Idec, Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals Inc, Bristol-Myers Squibb Company, Celgene Corporation, Daiichi Sankyo Inc, Dendreon Corporation, Eisai

Inc, EMD Serono Inc, Foundation Medicine Inc, Genentech BioOncology, Genomic Health Inc, Gilead Sciences Inc, Incyte Corporation, Lilly USA LLC, Medivation Inc, Merck, Millennium: The Takeda Oncology Company, Mundipharma International Limited, Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation, Onyx Pharmaceuticals Inc, Prometheus Laboratories Inc, Regeneron Pharmaceuticals, Sanofi, Seattle Genetics, Spectrum Pharmaceuticals Inc and Teva Oncology.

RESEARCH TO PRACTICE STAFF AND EXTERNAL REVIEWERS — The scientific staff and reviewers for Research To Practice have no real or apparent conflicts of interest to disclose.

This educational activity contains discussion of published and/ or investigational uses of agents that are not indicated by the Food and Drug Administration. Research To Practice does not recommend the use of any agent outside of the labeled indications. Please refer to the official prescribing information for each product for discussion of approved indications, contraindications and warnings. The opinions expressed are those of the presenters and are not to be construed as those of the publisher or grantors.

This activity is supported by educational grants from Celgene Corporation, Genentech BioOncology/Biogen Idec, Millennium: The Takeda Oncology Company, Seattle Genetics and Teva Oncology.

Hardware/Software Requirements:
A high-speed Internet connection
A monitor set to 1280 x 1024 pixels or more
Internet Explorer 7 or later, Firefox 3.0 or later, Chrome, Safari
3.0 or later
Adobe Flash Player 10.2 plug-in or later
Adobe Acrobat Reader

Last review date: February 2013 Expiration date: February 2014

(Optional) Sound card and speakers for audio



# ASH 2012 and the postrituximab era of new biologic treatments for B-cell neoplasms

To go directly to slides and commentary for this issue, <u>click here</u>.

Since the late 1990s rituximab (R) has been the major biologic agent integrated into management of B-cell cancers. However, these days some of the most cautious, evidence-based investigators are having a hard time hiding their enthusiasm for an array of emerging novel agents that are shaking up the non-Hodgkin lymphoma (NHL)/chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL) research database. On this second issue of our 7-part ASH highlights series, we profile several promising new strategies, including a few that MD Anderson's Dr Hagop Kantarjian believes may soon lead to CML-like long-term disease control in CLL. Here's an overview of what we learned in Atlanta:

## 1. Small-molecule B-cell receptor inhibitors: Ibrutinib (Ib) and idelalisib (GS-1101)

These oral, relatively nontoxic agents interfere with the B-cell receptor signaling pathway and have intriguing activity in CLL, follicular lymphoma (FL) and mantle-cell lymphoma (MCL). Perhaps the greatest excitement surrounds lb, an inhibitor of Bruton's tyrosine kinase, which is critical for proliferation and survival in most B-cell tumors. Ib was the subject of **2 spectacular CLL ASH papers**. The first was a Phase I-II monotherapy study of 116 patients that resulted in response rates Dr Bruce Cheson called "phenomenal" and exceeded 65% overall, including 12 of 24 patients with 17p and 11q deletions.

The second major related paper was a Phase II study evaluating the combination of Ib and R in 40 patients with previously treated high-risk CLL. I was struck by the title of the abstract, which states that this combination had "profound" activity. Given that description, the eye-popping **waterfall plots**, which pretty much all point south and included 13 patients with del 17p, were not that surprising. A highlight of this paper was the discussion of a patient who had primary resistance to FCR then hyper-CVAD and a number of other therapies but achieved a CR with Ib/R.

With regard to idelalisib, at ASH we saw findings from a Phase I study evaluating this PI3 kinase delta inhibitor combined with R and/or bendamustine (B) in 52 patients with relapsed/refractory (RR) CLL. PI3K delta is thought to drive proliferation and survival of malignant B cells, and as in many Phase I studies in this era of molecular-targeted treatment, most (about 80%) of the patients responded despite extensive prior treatments, including B and R. This well-tolerated combination approach is now being tested in Phase III trials.

### 2. Lenalidomide (len)

The first issue of this ASH series profiled immunomodulatory agents in multiple myeloma, including the newly approved pomalidomide, but this intriguing class of drugs clearly is also of great interest in B-cell cancers. The last several ASH meetings have included a number of presentations suggesting that len alone or with R ("R squared — R<sup>2</sup>") has significant activity in CLL and NHL, and at the 2012 conference the good news continued.

Notably, Dr Nathan Fowler presented the final results of <u>a Phase II trial</u> of 110 patients with indolent lymphoma treated with the R<sup>2</sup> regimen. High rates of durable responses were observed, including CRs in 42/45 patients with FL who converted to PET negativity, and this encouraging data set and others have spawned a number of studies like the Phase III RELEVANCE trial comparing R<sup>2</sup> to chemotherapy/R, raising the possibility of a future world without chemotherapy for this disease.

Another Phase II CLL study evaluated a strategy now often used in myeloma, namely len maintenance, in this case for 12 months after BR induction. The encouraging median PFS of 24.3 months in 34 patients has led to interest in testing R<sup>2</sup> maintenance, an approach that is also the focus of a current ECOG MCL trial.

### 3. Other novel treatments: Chimeric antigen receptor (CAR) therapy, anti-PD-1

The spectacular science and clinical challenges of next-generation biologic therapy were on full display in a paper profiling the use of CART19 cells targeting the CD19 antigen, which is expressed on the surface of most B-cell cancers. This Star Wars-like treatment involves gene transfer techniques to genetically modify T cells, which in this study was demonstrated to have rapid and potent antitumor activity in chemotherapy-refractory CD19-positive CLL and ALL. The development of a cytokine release syndrome in some cases is a signal for caution in this maybe revolutionary approach to immune-based treatment.

Similarly, while anti-PD-1 has gotten a lot of press across solid tumor oncology, this immunotherapeutic strategy is also under investigation in hematologic cancers. In this regard, an **early paper** reported encouraging results with the combination of R and the anti-PD-1 monoclonal antibody pidilizumab (CT-011) in patients with RR FL.

While ASH was a treasure trove of exciting papers on biologics, more is on the way, as witnessed by a recent **press release** concerning a large Phase III German CLL trial evaluating the glycoengineered, humanized type II CD20 antibody obinutuzumab (O; GA101) that reported an advantage to adding this agent to chlorambucil (CLB), but this is just an appetizer to the main dish — the comparison to R-CLB. R seems to have less activity in CLL than in many lymphomas, and the hope is that O will yield greater benefit.

Next on this ASH series — new data on myelofibrosis, a cancer that finally has effective but complex treatment options, including 2 key follow-up reports of landmark Phase III trials of ruxolitinib.

Neil Love, MD

Research To Practice

Miami, Florida

Research To Practice is accredited by the Accreditation Council for Continuing Medical Education to provide continuing medical education for physicians.

Research To Practice designates this enduring material for a maximum of 1.75 AMA PRA Category 1 Credits<sup>TM</sup>. Physicians should claim only the credit commensurate with the extent of their participation in the activity.

This activity is supported by educational grants from Celgene Corporation, Genentech BioOncology/Biogen Idec, Millennium: The Takeda Oncology Company, Seattle Genetics and Teva Oncology.

# Lenalidomide and Rituximab for Untreated Indolent Lymphoma: Final Results of a Phase II Study

Presentation discussed in this issue

Fowler NH et al. Lenalidomide and rituximab for untreated indolent lymphoma: Final results of a Phase II study. *Proc ASH* 2012; Abstract 901.

Slides from a presentation at ASH 2012 and transcribed comments from a recent interview with Bruce D Cheson, MD (1/14/13)

Lenalidomide and Rituximab for Untreated Indolent Lymphoma: Final Results of a Phase II Study

Fowler NH et al.

Proc ASH 2012; Abstract 901.

## **Background**

- Single-agent lenalidomide (Len) is active in relapsed, indolent non-Hodgkin lymphoma (NHL) while rituximab (R), alone or in combination with chemotherapy, is effective in untreated indolent lymphoma (J Natl Compr Canc Netw 2010;(8 Suppl 6):1).
- Preclinical studies using NHL cells suggested that Len may promote natural killer-cell function when R is present (Clin Cancer Res 2005;11:5984).
- Study objective: To evaluate the efficacy and safety of Len in combination with R for patients with untreated, advanced-stage indolent NHL

Fowler NH et al. Proc ASH 2012; Abstract 901.

Research To Practice®

## **Phase II Trial Design**

## Eligibility (n = 110)

Untreated, advanced-stage, indolent NHL

Tumor size >1.5 cm

### Len + R (n = 110)\*

Len: 20 mg/d, d1-21 q4wk x 6 cycles R: 375 mg/m<sup>2</sup>, d1 q4wk x 6 cycles

- \* Patients with evidence of tumor response could continue treatment for up to 12 cycles.
- To reduce the incidence of tumor flare, patients with small lymphocytic lymphoma (SLL) received Len (10 mg/d), with monthly dose escalation.
- Response was assessed every 3 cycles using the 1999 International Working Group Response criteria.

Fowler NH et al. Proc ASH 2012; Abstract 901.

## **Best Response Rates (Abstract Only)**

By histology	SLL (n = 30)	MZL (n = 27)	FL (n = 46)	ALL* (n = 103)
Overall response rate CR/CRu	80% 27%	89% 67%	98% 87%	90% 64%
Partial response	53%	22%	11%	26%
Stable disease	13%	11%	2%	8%
Progressive disease	7%	0%	0%	2%

<sup>\*</sup> All evaluable patients

MZL = marginal zone lymphoma; FL = follicular lymphoma; CR = complete response; CRu = CR unconfirmed

Fowler NH et al. Proc ASH 2012; Abstract 901.

Research To Practice®

## **Clinical Outcomes (Abstract Only)**

Survival rates	%
Estimated 2-year progression-free survival*	
All evaluable patients ( $n = 103$ )	83%
Evaluable patients with FL $(n = 46)$	89%

<sup>\*</sup> Median follow-up = 22 months

- 42/45 (93%) patients with FL and a positive PET scan prior to therapy attained a complete metabolic response after treatment.
- For patients with FL, responses were high regardless of FLIPI score, tumor bulk or GELF criteria at study entry.
- At the end of therapy, almost all patients with FL demonstrated molecular response with the absence of detectable BCL-2 by PCR.

Fowler NH et al. Proc ASH 2012; Abstract 901.

## Select Adverse Events (Grade ≥3) (Abstract Only)

Hematologic	%
Neutropenia	40%
Thrombocytopenia	4%
Nonhematologic	n
Rash	8 patients
Muscle pain	7 patients
Fatigue	3 patients
Thrombosis	3 patients

- There were 2 episodes of neutropenic fever.
- Patients discontinuing treatment due to adverse events = 6

Fowler NH et al. Proc ASH 2012; Abstract 901.

Research To Practice®

### **Author Conclusions**

- The combination of Len with R therapy is active and tolerable in patients with untreated indolent lymphoma.
- In patients with follicular lymphoma, Len in combination with R demonstrated high complete response rates with durable remissions.
- Based on these results, randomized studies comparing this treatment schedule to traditional combination chemotherapy regimens are underway (RELEVANCE, NCT01650701).

Fowler NH et al. Proc ASH 2012; Abstract 901.

## Investigator Commentary: Final Results of a Phase II Trial of Lenalidomide and Rituximab for Untreated Indolent Lymphoma

This trial of lenalidomide in combination with rituximab produced an overall response rate (ORR) of 90% for all patients, including about two thirds achieving a CR. The most exciting results were observed in patients with FL on the basis of PET scans, with an ORR and CR of 98% and 87%, respectively. These appear to be somewhat durable. In fact the estimated 2-year PFS was over 80% for all patients and 90% for FL.

The so-called R-squared regimen will be compared head to head with chemotherapy/rituximab or chemoimmunotherapy in the Phase III RELEVANCE trial, in which physicians can choose from R-CHOP, R-CVP or R-bendamustine with a 1:1 randomization of patients to therapy. RELEVANCE is an international study with the potential to change how we approach patients with FL. Although we don't know if the R-squared regimen is more effective than rituximab alone for patients with untreated disease, we know that rituximab alone produces about a 50% to 75% response rate at best, lasting for a median of about 18 months. However, the R-squared regimen yields response rates into the mid- to high 90s that appear to be lasting longer. This is the rationale for the randomized RELEVANCE trial evaluating how it compares to other effective regimens.

Interview with Bruce D Cheson, MD, January 14, 2013