Up-front therapy for older patients at standard risk

An otherwise <u>healthy 77-year-old</u> patient presents with fatigue. Workup reveals Hb 9.0 g/dL, normal renal function, an M-spike with an IgG lambda component of 4.9 g/dL and bone marrow consistent with MM (ISS Stage II). Conventional cytogenetics, FISH and skeletal survey are normal. The patient is not eligible for transplant. Which induction treatment would you most likely recommend for this patient?				
RD or Rd			30%	
RVD		2	3%	
VD	13%			
CyBorD	11%			
VMP	7%			
MPR	6%			
Lenalidomide	5%			
Bortezomib	5%			
0	% 10%	20%	30%	40%
% of respondents				

EDITOR'S COMMENTS

We asked about up-front treatment for an older, transplant-ineligible patient, in this case aged 77, at standard risk. The 2 most common choices were Rd (also used by both faculty) and RVD, often used at reduced doses as in the "RVD lite" regimen. One surprising finding is that the use of melphalan continues in a small subset of oncologists, a practice likely to change considering the data from the FIRST trial that demonstrated a significant PFS and OS benefit with continuous Rd compared to melphalan/prednisone/thalidomide. Dr Munshi evaluates patients' frailty and comorbidities in choosing between RVD lite and Rd but leans toward RVD for symptomatic patients to induce a more rapid response.

SELECT REFERENCES WITH LINKS

Benboubker L et al. Lenalidomide and dexamethasone in transplant-ineligible patients with myeloma. *N Engl J Med* 2014;371(10):906-17. <u>Abstract</u>

Reece D. **Update on the initial therapy of multiple myeloma.** *Am Soc Clin Oncol Educ Book* 2013. <u>Abstract</u>

Mateos MV et al. **Initial treatment of transplant-ineligible patients in multiple myeloma.** *Expert Rev Hematol* 2014;7(1):67-77. <u>Abstract</u>