

NCCTG-N9831: Adjuvant Chemotherapy Alone or with Sequential or Concurrent Addition of 52 Weeks of Trastuzumab in HER2-Positive Breast Cancer

For more visit ResearchToPractice.com/5MJCBreast

Research To Practice®

CME INFORMATION

OVERVIEW OF ACTIVITY

The annual San Antonio Breast Cancer Symposium (SABCS) is unmatched in its significance with regard to the advancement of breast cancer treatment. It is targeted by many members of the clinical research community as the optimal forum in which to unveil new clinical data. This creates an environment each year where published results from a plethora of ongoing clinical trials lead to the emergence of many new therapeutic agents and changes in the indications for existing treatments across all breast cancer subtypes. In order to offer optimal patient care — including the option of clinical trial participation — the practicing medical oncologist must be well informed of the rapidly evolving data sets in breast cancer. To bridge the gap between research and patient care, this CME activity will deliver a serial review of the most important emerging data sets from the latest SABCS meeting, including expert perspectives on how these new evidence-based concepts can be applied to routine clinical care. This activity will assist medical oncologists and other cancer clinicians in the formulation of optimal clinical management strategies for breast cancer.

LEARNING OBJECTIVE

• Formulate an evidence-based algorithm incorporating trastuzumab for the adjuvant treatment of early, HER2-positive breast cancer.

ACCREDITATION STATEMENT

Research To Practice is accredited by the Accreditation Council for Continuing Medical Education to provide continuing medical education for physicians.

CREDIT DESIGNATION STATEMENT

Research To Practice designates this educational activity for a maximum of 0.25 AMA PRA Category 1 CreditsTM. Physicians should only claim credit commensurate with the extent of their participation in the activity.

HOW TO USE THIS CME ACTIVITY

This CME activity contains slides and edited commentary. To receive credit, the participant should review the slide presentation, read the commentary and complete the Educational Assessment and Credit Form located at CME.ResearchToPractice.com.

CONTENT VALIDATION AND DISCLOSURES

Research To Practice (RTP) is committed to providing its participants with high-quality, unbiased and state-of-the-art education. We assess potential conflicts of interest with faculty, planners and managers of CME activities. Real or apparent conflicts of interest are identified and resolved through a conflict of interest resolution process. In addition, all activity content is reviewed by both a member of the RTP scientific staff and an external, independent physician reviewer for fair balance, scientific objectivity of studies referenced and patient care recommendations.

FACULTY — The following faculty (and their spouses/partners) reported real or apparent conflicts of interest, which have been resolved through a conflict of interest resolution process:

Mark D Pegram, MD Full Professor of Medicine Director for the Translational Research Program Braman Family Breast Cancer Research Institute UM Sylvester Comprehensive Cancer Center Miami, Florida

Advisory Committee: Amgen Inc, Genentech BioOncology, Genomic Health Inc, GlaxoSmithKline, Sanofi-Aventis; Consulting Agreements: Genentech BioOncology, GlaxoSmithKline, Sanofi-Aventis; Data Safety and Monitoring Board: Wyeth; Paid Research: Sanofi-Aventis; Speakers Bureau: Genentech BioOncology, Genomic Health Inc, GlaxoSmithKline, Sanofi-Aventis.

EDITOR — Dr Love is president and CEO of Research To Practice, which receives funds in the form of educational grants to develop CME activities from the following commercial interests: Abraxis BioScience, Amgen Inc, AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP, Bayer Pharmaceuticals Corporation/Onyx Pharmaceuticals Inc, Biogen Idec, Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals Inc, Bristol-Myers Squibb Company, Celgene Corporation, Centocor Ortho Biotech Services LLC, Cephalon Inc, Eisai Inc, EMD Serono Inc, Genentech BioOncology, Genomic Health Inc, Genzyme Corporation, GlaxoSmithKline, ImClone Systems Incorporated, Lilly USA LLC, Merck and Company Inc, Millennium Pharmaceuticals Inc, Monogram BioSciences Inc, Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation, OSI Oncology, Roche Laboratories Inc, Sanofi-Aventis and Wyeth. RESEARCH TO PRACTICE STAFF AND EXTERNAL REVIEWERS - The scientific staff and reviewers for Research To Practice have no real or apparent conflicts of interest to disclose.

This educational activity contains discussion of published and/or investigational uses of agents that are not indicated by the Food and Drug Administration. Research To Practice does not recommend the use of any agent outside of the labeled indications. Please refer to the official prescribing information for each product for discussion of approved indications, contraindications and warnings. The opinions expressed are those of the presenters and are not to be construed as those of the publisher or grantors.

This program is supported by educational grants from AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP, Bayer Pharmaceuticals Corporation/Onyx Pharmaceuticals Inc, Genentech BioOncology, Genomic Health Inc and GlaxoSmithKline.

Last review date: January 2010 Expiration date: January 2011

Research To Practice®



IN THIS ISSUE:

The long awaited and maybe not so satisfying third analysis of BCIRG trial 006 (TCH versus AC->TH versus AC->T)

• **NCCTG trial 9831** data again suggests an advantage to combining chemotherapy and trastuzumab as opposed to sequencing

• Another happy chapter in the rapidly evolving **<u>T-DM1 story</u>** with more data demonstrating surprising efficacy in far advanced disease

As Dennis Slamon concluded his **BCIRG 006 presentation** in San Antonio last month, I wondered if years from now that moment would symbolize in my mind the end of interest in clinical research on cytotoxic chemotherapy. A generation or more of oncologists have seen the promise and disappointment of these difficult agents, and trials like 006 have sparked endless discussion about which chemo is optimal, a topic that used to permeate all of oncology clinical research.

Since presenting the first "TCH" data set at the 2005 San Antonio meeting, Dr Slamon and others have debated the merits and downsides of anthracyclines in patients with HER2-positive tumors, and a corollary chemo presentation in San Antonio by Dr Edith Perez of <u>NCCTG trial 9831</u> provided more evidence of the value of combining cytotoxics and trastuzumab as opposed to sequencing with chemo followed by T à la the HERA trial.

The question is: "Who cares anymore?"

In a commentary that accompanies our slide sets this week, Dr Mark Pegram makes the compelling argument that it's pretty much time to move on from these Talmudic debates on the unknowable, like whether TCH is a better option than AC->TH, and perhaps focus more energy on enrolling patients in studies of new anti-HER2 therapies, like the pretty unusual **T-DM1** molecule, which once again in San Antonio put forth unprecedented efficacy numbers in patients with multiple prior anti-HER2 treatments. One might argue that the tiny dollop of maytansine delivered by trastuzumab in T-DM1 is in fact chemotherapy but without alopecia, GI toxicity and myelosuppression.

Everyone in oncology, along with thousands of anxious women who have received adjuvant therapy for HER2-positive breast cancer, have their fingers crossed that we can quickly assess the optimal role of T-DM1 — and for that matter the antibody

pertuzumab and TKIs like lapatinib and neratinib — and have available a new level of treatment efficacy. Hopefully research advances will accelerate in a similar manner for the other 80 percent of patients with HER2-negative tumors.

Next up on 5-Minute Journal Club: "Practice-changing" data on the estrogen receptor downregulator fulvestrant.

Neil Love, MD Research To Practice Miami, Florida

Research To Practice is accredited by the Accreditation Council for Continuing Medical Education to provide continuing medical education for physicians.

Research To Practice designates each of the three educational activities, comprised of a slide set and accompanying commentary, for a maximum of 0.25 *AMA PRA Category 1 Credits*TM. Physicians should only claim credit commensurate with the extent of their participation in the activity.

Research To Practice One Biscayne Tower 2 South Biscayne Boulevard, Suite 3600 Miami, FL 33131

This email was sent to you by Dr Neil Love and Research To Practice. To unsubscribe to future email requests and announcements, click here. To update your information on our current distribution lists, click here.

NCCTG-N9831: Adjuvant Chemotherapy Alone or with Sequential or Concurrent Addition of 52 Weeks of Trastuzumab in HER2-Positive Breast Cancer

Presentation discussed in this issue

Perez EA et al. **Results of chemotherapy alone, with sequential or concurrent addition of 52 weeks of trastuzumab in the NCCTG N9831 HER2-positive adjuvant breast cancer trial.** SABCS 2009; Abstract 80.

Slides from a presentation at SABCS 2009 and transcribed comments from an interview with Mark D Pegram, MD (12/23/09)

Results of Chemotherapy Alone, with Sequential or Concurrent Addition of 52 Weeks of Trastuzumab in the NCCTG N9831 HER2-Positive Adjuvant Breast Cancer Trial

Perez EA et al. SABCS 2009;Abstract 80.

> Research To Practice®

NCCTG N9831: Trial Schema





Disease-Free Survival (median follow-up > 5 years)

	$AC \rightarrow T$	$\textbf{AC} \rightarrow \textbf{T} \rightarrow \textbf{H}$	<i>p</i> -value
Disease free survival rate ¹	71.9%	80.1%	0.0005
	Number of	Adjusted	
Pairwise Comparison	events	hazard ratio	<i>p</i> -value

¹Second interim analysis.

	$\textbf{AC} \rightarrow \textbf{T} \rightarrow \textbf{H}$	$\textbf{AC} \rightarrow \textbf{T+H} \rightarrow \textbf{H}$	<i>p</i> -value
Disease free survival rate ²	79.8%	84.2%	0.0190 ³
Pairwise Comparison	Number of events	Adjusted hazard ratio	<i>p</i> -value
AC \rightarrow T \rightarrow H (n=954) AC \rightarrow T+H \rightarrow H (n=949)	174 138	0.75	0.0134 ³

²First interim analysis, sequential arm censored during concurrent arm closure; ³Statistical significance preset at 0.00116.

Source: Perez EA et al. SABCS 2009; Abstract 701.

Research To Practice®

Overall Survival (median follow-up > 5 years)

Pairwise Comparison	Number of events	Unadjusted hazard ratio	<i>p</i> -value
AC \rightarrow T vs AC \rightarrow T \rightarrow H (n=2,184)	220	0.86	0.281
AC \rightarrow T \rightarrow H vs AC \rightarrow T+H \rightarrow H (n=1,903)*	168	0.79	0.135

*Patients on the sequential arm were excluded when the concurrent arm was closed.

Source: Perez EA et al. SABCS 2009; Abstract 701.

Research To Practice®



DR PEGRAM: I found this presentation intriguing. When I was working in Dennis Slamon's laboratory at UCLA, we published a series of papers showing that the combination of cytotoxic agents with trastuzumab was superior in cell-based assays and in xenografts than when given in sequence.

Moreover, if you gave the agents in sequence deliberately in an experimental model, both in vitro and in vivo, it was always better to give the trastuzumab first followed by the cytotoxic agent and not the other way around. When we did the original drug development strategy for trastuzumab, we deliberately integrated it in combination with cytotoxics in an effort to exploit any potential synergy between the two. The Perez trial addressed this question clinically in a large adjuvant study that compared the sequence of chemotherapy followed by trastuzumab to AC followed by paclitaxel given in combination with trastuzumab followed by a total of a year of adjuvant trastuzumab.

The study design was nice because it allowed for the first time in the adjuvant setting a head-on comparison of the schedules of trastuzumab — whether it is best to use in sequence or in combination. The European adjuvant trastuzumab trial was all done as a sequence, in which generally chemotherapy was followed by trastuzumab. The common practice outside of North America is to use sequence rather than combination. Just as they had shown a few years ago in an unplanned interim analysis, these most recent updated data from Dr Perez also showed superiority of the combination over the sequence. The data looked fairly convincing and conclusive until you examined the statistical plan of the protocol. The O'Brian-Fleming p-value that must be achieved in order to call this statistically significant in the preplanned analysis was 0.001. The actual *p*-value was 0.019. If you're a purist, you could argue that this did not cross the boundary for statistical significance, even though it looks compelling that the combination is better than the sequence.

This is a little bit of an unsatisfying result. It fits my preconceived notion and my clear bias that the combination is probably better, but there's this nagging issue that it doesn't quite hit the mark in terms of the preplanned *p*-value. It is a nonsignificant trend, but it seems to be a trend of a significant enough magnitude to possibly merit clinical consideration.

Clinicians can digest the data as they see fit. The overarching question is whether or not to use the trastuzumab, and that has been definitively answered. Which chemotherapy or whether you use the sequence or the combination are relatively trivial considerations compared to the magnitude of benefit that we see by simply administering some trastuzumab in any way, shape or form.

Dr Pegram is Full Professor of Medicine and Director for the Translational Research Program at the UM Sylvester Comprehensive Cancer Center's Braman Family Breast Cancer Research Institute in Miami, Florida.